

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2014-12980  
Issue Nos.: 2011, 3011  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: January 27, 2014  
County: Wayne (82-76)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Alice C. Elkin

**HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 27, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and [REDACTED], Claimant's husband. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED], Family Independence Specialist, and [REDACTED], Lead Child Support Specialist with the Office of Child Support (OCS).

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) case and reduce her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of MA and FAP benefits.
2. On [REDACTED], 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action notifying her that, because of her noncooperation with child support reporting obligations, effective [REDACTED], 2013, (i) she would be disqualified from her FAP group and her FAP benefits would decrease and (ii) her MA case would close.
3. On [REDACTED], 2013, Claimant filed a request for hearing disputing the Department's actions.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

Additionally, Claimant requested a hearing concerning her MA and FAP cases. The [REDACTED], 2013, Notice of Case Action reduced Claimant's FAP benefits and closed her MA case effective [REDACTED], 2013, because of her noncooperation with child support reporting obligations.

Department policy requires that the custodial parent of children must comply with all requests for action or information needed to establish paternity and/or obtain child support on behalf of children for whom they receive assistance, unless a claim of good cause for not cooperating has been granted or is pending. BEM 255 (January 2014), p. 1. A client's failure to cooperate without good cause results in that client's disqualification from her FAP and MA groups **unless** the OCS records the comply date on or before the timely hearing request date. BEM 255, pp. 11, 13-14.

In this case, the OCS participated in the hearing and, during the course of the hearing, testified that Claimant had been designated as noncompliant with her child support reporting obligations under an incorrect code. As a result, the OCS testified that it would remove the noncompliance from Claimant's record, although it advised Claimant that she might be subject to a new child support noncooperation action concerning the same child and could face future action to her benefits if she did not comply. Because of the OCS's acknowledgement that the noncooperation was entered in error, Claimant was not in noncompliance with her reporting obligations when the Department reduced her FAP benefits and closed her MA case.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it reduced Claimant's FAP benefits and closed her MA case based on noncooperation with child support reporting obligations.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Remove the child support noncompliance concerning Claimant's daughter from Claimant's record;
2. Reinstate Claimant's MA case effective [REDACTED], 2013;
3. Provide Claimant with MA coverage she is eligible to receive but did not from [REDACTED], 2013, ongoing;
4. Recalculate Claimant's FAP benefits for [REDACTED], 2013, ongoing to include Claimant as a qualified member of her FAP group; and
5. Issue supplements to Claimant for any FAP benefits she was eligible to receive but did not from [REDACTED], 2013, ongoing.



**Alice C. Elkin**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 29, 2014

Date Mailed: January 29, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;

- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/pf

cc:

