# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201412663

Issue No.: 4001

Case No.:

Hearing Date: January 30, 2014

County: Wayne (41)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

#### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 30, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Morker.

### <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's State Emergency Repair (SER) application for assistance with home repairs?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On September 11, 2013, Claimant applied for SER assistance with home repairs.
- 2. On October 15, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a SER Decision Notice denying the application.
- 3. On October 29, 2013, Claimant filed a request for hearing disputing the Department's actions.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The SER program is administered by the Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) pursuant to MCL 400.10 and by Mich Admin Code, R 400.7001 through R 400.7049.

Additionally, in an October 15, 2013, SER Decision Notice, the Department denied Claimant's application for assistance with home repairs on the basis that Claimant's housing was not affordable. SER assistance is available for home ownership services, including home repairs to correct unsafe conditions and restore essential services. ERM 304 (March 2013), p. 1. In order to be eligible for such assistance, the client has to establish that the ongoing cost of maintaining the home is affordable to the SER group. ERM 304, p. 4. An exception to the housing affordability condition may apply if the client receives a voucher from the Homeless Assistance Recovery Program (HARP), Transitional Supportive Housing Leasing Assistance Program (TSHLAP), Transition In Place Leasing Assistance Program (TIPLAP), Rapid R-Housing Leasing Assistance, Temporary Basic Rental Assistance (TBRA) funded by MSHDA. ERM 207, pp 1-2. However, because Claimant was not leasing her home, she was not eligible for any of these exceptions to the housing affordability requirement.

Housing is affordable if the SER group's total housing obligation does not exceed 75% of the group's total net countable income. ERM 207 (March 2013), p. 1. "Total housing obligation" means the total amount the SER group must pay for rent, house payment, mobile home lot rent, property taxes and required insurance premiums. ERM 207, p. 1.

In this case, Claimant confirmed on the record that, while she was approved for cash assistance in December 2013, she had no income when she applied for SER assistance on September 11, 2013 and no income between the date of application and October 11, 2013, the 30 day countable income period. See ERM 206 (March 2013),p. 1. Although Claimant testified that she did not have any mortgage, rent or land contract expenses, she acknowledged that she was responsible for property taxes on her home, which were outstanding at the time of application. Because Claimant had shelter expenses but no income at the time of her SER application, her housing was not affordable.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's SER application for home repairs.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Alice C. Elkin

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 4, 2014

Date Mailed: February 4, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/tlf

# 2014-12663/ACE

