# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-69801

Issue No.: 2009

Case No.: Hearing Date:

February 6, 2014

County: Macomb-20

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

#### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's r equest for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law J udge pursuant to MC L 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 t o 431.250; and 45 CF R 205.10. After due notice, a telephon e hearing was held on February 26, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participant s on behalf of the Department of Hu man Services (Department) included

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's Medical Assistance application?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Claimant applied for MA-P on June 13, 2013.
- 2. The Medical Review Team denied the application on August 26, 2013.
- 3. Claimant filed a request for hearing on September 19, 2013, regarding the MA denial.
- 4. A telephone hearing was held on February 26, 2014.
- On November 8, 2013, the State Hearing Review T eam denied the applic ation because the medical evidence, or record, indicates that the Claimant's condition is improving or is expected to improve within 12 months from the date of onset or from the date of surgery.
- 6. Claimant is 5' 4" tall and weighs 160 pounds.
- 7. Claimant is 44 years of age.

- 8. Claimant's impairments have been medically diagnosed as left ankle fracture and GERD.
- 9. Claimant has the following symptoms: pain, fatigue, joint swelling.
- 10. Claimant completed high school and some college.
- 11. Claimant is able to read, write, and perform, basic math skills.
- 12. Claimant is not working. Cla imant last worked in July 201 2 administ rative manager.
- 13. Cla imant lives with her mother.
- 14. Claimant testified that she cannot perform some household chores.
- 15. Claimant was taking the following prescribed medications at the time of hearing:
  - a. Hydrocodone
  - b. Tramadol
  - c. Adderax
- 16. Claimant testified to the following physical limitations:
  - i. Sitting: 60 minutes
  - ii. Standing: 2-3 minutes
  - iii. Walking: 50 feet
  - iv. Bend/stoop: difficulty
  - v. Lifting: 10 lbs.
  - vi. Grip/grasp: no limitations
- 17. Claimant's treating physician submitted the following statement dated September 16, 2013: "With her injury the weight bearing portion of the ankle joint, she may take up to 2 years to reach a plateau. This may qualify her for Medicaid and/or disability. If in the past our paperwork did not reflect this or said the opposite, that was an error."
- 18. Claimant testified to ex periencing pain at a high leve I of 7 on an everyday basis with some pain always present at a low level of 5.
- 19. Claimant uses one crutch to ambulate.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An oppor tunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his or her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a Department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The Medic al Assistance (MA-P) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the MA-P program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Department conforms to state statute in administering the SDA program. 2000 PA 294, Sec. 604, of the statute states:

- (1) The Department shall operat e a state disability assistance program. Except as provided in subsection
- (3), persons eligible for this program shall include needy citizens of the United States or aliens exempted from the supplemental security income citizenship requirement who are at least 18 years of age or emancipated minors meeting 1 or more of the following requirements:
  - (a) A recipient of supplemental security income, social security, or medical assistance due to disability or 65 years of age or older.
  - (b) A person with a phy sical or mental impairment which meets federal supplemental se curity income disability standards, exc ept that the minimum duration of the disability shall be 90 days. Substance abuse alone is not defined as a basis for eligibility.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department uses the Feder al Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining el igibility for disability under the MA-P program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainfu I activit y by reason of any medically determinable physical, or mental, impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted, or can be expected to last, for a cont inuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

Federal regulations r equire that the Depar tment use the same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act...42 CFR 435.540(a).

## "Disability" is:

...the inab ility to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical, or mental, impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted, or can be expected to last, for a cont inuous period of not less than 12 months ...20 CFR 416.905.

In determining whether an indivi dual is dis abled, 20 CFR 416. 920, requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual f unctional c apacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is, or is not, disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if t he individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.9 20(b). In this case, the Claimant is not working. Therefore, the Claimant is not disqualified a this step in the evaluation.

The second step to be determined in considering whether the Clai mant is considered disabled is the severity of the impairment. In order to qualify the impairment must be considered severe, which is defined as an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical, or mental, ability to perform basic work activities. Examples of these include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers, and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

In this case, the Claimant's medical evidence of record supports a finding that Claimant has significant physical and mental limitations upon Claimant's ability to perform basic work activities such as walk ing, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling. Medical evidence has clearly established that the Claimant has an

impairment (or combination of impairments) that has more t han a minimal effect on the Claimant's work activities. See Social Security Rulings: 85-28, 88-13, and 82-63.

In this case, this Administrative Law J udge finds that Claima nt may be considered presently disabled at the thir distep. Claimant meets listing 1.03 or its equivalent. The testimony of Claimant's treating therapist supports this position. This Administrative Law Judge will not continue through the remaining steps of the aissessment. Claimant's testimony and the miedical documentation support the finding that Claimant meets the requirements of the listing. Claimant has other significant health problems that were not fully addressed in this decision because Claimant is found to meet a listing for a different impairment.

Therefore, Claimant is found to be disabled.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that Claimant is medically disabled as of June 2013.

Accordingly, the Departm ent's decision is hereby **REVERSED** and the Department is ORDERED to:

- 1. Initiate a r eview of the application for MA dated J une 13, 2013, if not done previously, to determine Claimant's non-medical eligibility.
- 2. The Department shall inform Claimant of the determination in writing. A review of this case shall be set for February 2015.

Aaron McClintic

Am mileti

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 28, 2014

Date Mailed: February 28, 2014

**NOTICE OF APP EAL:** The claimant may appea I the Dec ision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly disc overed evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reas ons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### AM/las

CC:

