STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-65627 Issue No.: 2009, 4009

Case No.: Hearing Date:

January 16, 2014

County: Sanilac

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge, pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10, upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 16, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participan ts on behalf of the Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's Medical Assistance and State Disability applications?

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Claimant applied for MA-P and SDA on April 10, 2013.
- 2. The Medical Review Team denied the application on August 6, 2013.
- 3. Claimant filed a request for hearing on August 19, 2013, regarding the MA and SDA denials.
- 4. A telephone hearing was held on January 16, 2014.
- 5. On October 9, 2013, the State Hear ing Review Team denied the applic ation because the medical evidenc e of record in dicates that the Claim ant retains the capacity to perform sedentary exer tional task s that do not use ropes/ladders/scaffolding or mor e than concentrated exposure to unprot ected heights and dangerous machinery.
- 6. Claimant is 5' 6" tall and weighs 170 pounds.
- 7. Claimant is 48 years of age.

2013-65627/ATM

- 8. Claimant's impairments have been medically diagnosed as seizures, migraines, bipolar disorder and fibromyalgia.
- 9. Claimant has the follo wing symptoms: pain, fatigue, insomnia, memory and concentration problems, panic attacks, crying spells, suicide attempts.
- 10. Claimant completed 11th grade.
- 11. Claimant is able to read, write, and perform basic math skills.
- 12. Claimant is not working. Claimant last worked in 2004 as an in home caregiver.
- 13. Cla imant lives with others.
- 14. Claimant testified that she cannot perform some household chores.
- 15. Claimant takes the following prescribed medications:
 - a. Topamax
 - b. Levothyroxine
 - c. Cymbalta
 - d. Abilify
 - e. Klonopin
 - f. hctz
- 16. Claimant testified to the following physical limitations:
 - i. Sitting: 60 minutes
 - ii. Standing: 15 minutes
 - iii. Walking: ½ block
 - iv. Bend/stoop: difficulty
 - v. Lifting: 5 lbs.
 - vi. Grip/grasp: no limitations
- 17. Claimant testified to ex periencing pain, at a high lev el of 10, on an everyday basis with some pain, always present, at a low level of 8.
- 18. In a psychological evaluation dated August 15, 2012 Claimant was found to have a GAF score of 58 with diagnoses of ma jor depressive disor der, recurrent, moderate and anxiety disorder.
- 19. Claimant received an Un favorable decision from the Social Sec urity Administration Appeals Council.
- 20. Claimant testified to experiencing 4 seizures in the last 6 months.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An oppor tunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his or her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a Department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The Medic al Assistance (MA-P) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the MA-P program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department uses the Feder al Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining el igibility for disability under the MA-P program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainfu I activit y by reason of any medically determinable physical, or mental, impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted, or can be expected to last, for a cont inuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

Federal regulations r equire that the Depar tment use the same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

"Disability" is:

...the inab ility to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical, or mental, impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted, or can be expected to last, for a cont inuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905.

In determining whether an indiv idual is disabled, 20 CFR 4 16.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual f unctional c apacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that

an individual is, or is not, disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if t he individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.9 20(b). In this case, the Claimant is not working. Therefore, the Claimant is not disqualified a this step in the evaluation.

The second step to be determined in considering whether the Clai mant is considered disabled is the severity of the impairment. In order to qualify the impairment must be considered severe, which is defined as an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to perform basic work activities. Examples of these include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering, simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers, and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

In this case, the Claimant's medical ev idence of record supports a finding t hat Claimant has significant physical and mental limitati ons upon Claimant's ability to perform basic work activities such as walk ing, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling. Medical evidence has clearly established t hat the Claimant has an impairment (or combination of impairments) that has more than a minimal effect on the Claimant's work activities. See Social Security Rulings: 85-28, 88-13, and 82-63.

In the third step of the analysi s, the trier of fact must determine if the Claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant's medical record does not support a finding that the Claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR Part 404, Part A. Listings 12.04 and 11.02 were considered.

The person claiming a physic al, or mental, disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/pre scribed treatment, prognosis for a recovery and/or medical ass essment of ability to do work-related activitie s, or ability to reason and to make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental dis ability is being alleged. 20 CRF 416.913. A conclusory statement by a physic ian, or mental health p rofessional,

that an individual is disabled, or blind, is not sufficient without supporting medical evidence to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927.

The fourth step of the analys is to be considered is whether the Claimant has the ability to perform work previously performed by the Claimant within the past 15 y ears. The trier of fact must determine whet her the impairment(s) presented prevent the Claimant from doing past relevant work. In the present case, the Claimant's past employment was as a caregiver. Working as a caregiver, as described by Claimant at hearing, would be considered medium work. The Claimant's impairments would prevent her from doing past relevant work. This Administrative Law Judge will continue through step 5.

In the final step of the analysis, the trier of fact must determine if the Cla imant's impairment(s) prevent the Claim ant from doing other work. 20 CFR 416.920(f). This determination is based upon the Claimant's:

- 1. residual functional capacity defined simply as "what can you still do despite your limitations? 20 CFR 416.945;
- 2. age, education, and work experience, 20 CFR 416.963-965; and
- 3. the kinds of work which exist in significant numbers in the national economy which the Claimant could perform despite her limitations. 20 CFR 416.966.

The residual functional capac ity is what an indiv idual can do despite limit ations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium, and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dicti onary of Occupational Titles, publis hed by the Department of Labor.... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work: Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting, or carrying, articles — like docket files, led — gers, and small tools — Although a sedentary job is defined as one which hinvolves sitting, a — certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work: Light work involves lif ting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting, or carrying, of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even t hough the weight lifted may be very little; a job is in this categor y when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Medium work: Medium work involves lifti ng no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting, or carrying, of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

Heavy wor k: Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weig hing up to 50 pounds. If someone can d o heavy wor k, we determine that he or she c an also d o medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

See *Felton v DSS* 161 Mich. App 690, 696 (1987). Once the Claimant makes it to the final step of the analy sis, the Claimant has already established a prima facie case of disability. *Richardson v Secretary of Health and Hum an Services*, 732 Fd2 962 (6 th Cir, 1984).

Moving forward, the burden of proof rests with the State to prove by substantial evidence that the Claimant has the residual function capacity for substantial gainful activity. After careful review of Claim ant's extensive medi cal record, and the Administrative Law J udge's per sonal inter action with Claimant at the hearing, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant would be able to perform work at least on the sedentary exertional level.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant is capable of the requisite s itting, standing and walk ing for a sedentary exer tional job. The Claimant is approaching advanced age at age 48. 20 CFR 416. 963. Claimant's previous work has been unskilled. Federal Rule 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2 c ontains specific profile s for determining disability based on residual f unctional capacity and vocational profiles. Under Table 1, Rule 201.18 the Claimant is not disabled for the purposes of MA-P and SDA. Claimant's testimony r egarding her limitations and ability to sit, stand, walk, lift and carry is not supported by substantial ev idence. Claimant failed to present sufficient evidence that she has a psychological impairment that is substantially limiting.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that Claimant is not medically disabled for the purposes of MA-P and SDA eligibility.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is hereby AFFIRMED.

Aaron McClintic
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 5, 2014

Date Mailed: February 6, 2014

NOTICE OF APP EAL: The claimant may appea I the Dec ision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsiderati on was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly disc overed evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

AM/las

