STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-61372 Issue No.: 2009, 4009

Case No.: Hearing Date:

January 14, 2014

County: Macomb-20

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge, pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10, upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 14, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participan ts on behalf of the Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's Medical Assistance and State Disability applications?

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Claimant applied for MA-P and SD A on March 4, 2013 with a request for retroactive coverage back to December 2012.
- 2. The Medical Review Team denied the application on July 22, 2013.
- 3. Claimant filed a request for hearing on July 30, 2013, regarding the MA and SDA denials.
- 4. A telephone hearing was held on January 14, 2014.
- 5. On September 19, 2013, the State Hearing Review Te am denied the application because the medical evidence of record in dicates that the Claim ant retains the capacity to perform past work as an administrative assistant.
- 6. Claimant is 5' 6" tall and weighs 180 pounds.
- 7. Claimant is 51 years of age.
- 8. Claimant's impairments have been medically diagnosed as hypertension, neuropathy, diabetes, anxiety, and depression.

- 9. Claimant has the follo wing symptoms: pain, fatigue, insomnia, memory and concentration problems, crying spells, social isolation and headaches.
- 10. Claimant completed high school and some college.
- 11. Claimant is able to read, write, and perform basic math skills.
- 12. Claimant is not wor king. Claimant last worked in October 2001 as an administrative assistant.
- 13. Claimant lives alone.
- 14. Claimant testified that she cannot perform some household chores.
- 15. Claimant takes the following prescribed medications:
 - a. Lisinipril
 - b. Metoprolol
 - c. Metfor min
 - d. Glipiz ide
 - e. norethindrone
- 16. Claimant testified to the following physical limitations:

i. Sitting: 15 minutes

ii. Standing: 10 minutes

iii. Walking: few steps

iv. Bend/stoop: some difficulty

v. Lifting: 10 lbs.

vi. Grip/grasp: no limitations

- 17. Claimant testified to experiencing pain, at a high lev el of 8 on an everyday basis with some pain always present at a low level of 5.
- 18. In a psychological examination report dated May 3, 2013, Claimant was found to have a GAF score of 47 with diagnosis of adjustment disorder with depression and anxiety. Claimant's prognosis was found to be guarded.
- 19. Claimant's treating physi cian completed a physical residual functional capacit y assessment that found Claim ant was capable of sitting and standing/walking 2 hours in an 8 hour day. This assessment al so states that Claimant would likely be absent more than 4 days per month and would be incapable of low st ress jobs.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R

400.901-400.951. An oppor tunity for a hearing shall be granted to an ap plicant who requests a hearing because his or her clai m for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a Department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The Medic al Assistance (MA-P) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the MA-P program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SD A program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department uses the Feder al Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining el igibility for disability under the MA-P program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainfu I activit y by reason of any medically determinable physical, or mental, impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted, or can be expected to last, for a cont inuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

Federal regulations r equire that the Depar tment use the same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

"Disability" is:

...the inab ility to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical, or mental, impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted, or can be expected to last, for a cont inuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905.

In determining whether an indiv idual is disabled, 20 CFR 4 16.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual f unctional c apacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is, or is not, disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if t he individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.9 20(b). In this case, the Claimant is not working. Therefore, the Claimant is not disqualified a this step in the evaluation.

The second step to be determined in considering whether the Clai mant is considered disabled is the severity of the impairment. In order to qualify the impairment must be considered severe, which is defined as an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to perform basic work activities. Examples of these include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering, simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers, and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

In this case, the Claimant's medical ev idence of record supports a finding t hat Claimant has significant physical and mental limitati ons upon Claimant's ability to perform basic work activities such as walk ing, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling. Medical evidence has clearly established t hat the Claimant has an impairment (or combination of impairments) that has more than a minimal effect on the Claimant's work activities. See Social Security Rulings: 85-28, 88-13, and 82-63.

In this case, this Administrative Law J udge finds that Claima nt may be considered presently disabled at the third step. Claimant meets listing 12.04 or its equivalent. The testimony of Claimant's treating therapist supports this position. This Administrative Law Judge will not continue through the remaining steps of the assessment. Claimant's testimony and the medical documentation support the finding that Claimant meets the requirements of the listing. Claimant has other significant health problems that were not fully addressed in this decision because Claimant is found to meet a listing for a different impairment.

Therefore, Claimant is found to be disabled.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that Claimant is medically disabled as of December 2012.

Accordingly, the Departm ent's decision is hereby **REVERSED** and the Department is ORDERED to:

- 1. Initiate a review of the application for MA, Retro MA and SDA dated March 4, 2013, if not done previously, to determine Claimant's non-medical eligibility.
- 2. The Department shall inform Claimant of the determination in writing. A review of this case shall be set for January 2015.

Aaron McClintic
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 4, 2014

Date Mailed: February 4, 2014

NOTICE OF APP EAL: The claimant may appea I the Dec ision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsiderati on was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly disc overed evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

AM/las

