STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No(s).: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: 2014-16747 3002

January 14, 2014 Macomb-12

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Darryl T. Johnson

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CF R 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a t elephone hearing was held on January 1 4, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant, Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Servic es (Department) included

Eligibility Specialist

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Food A ssistance Progr am (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant was an on-going FAP recipient.
- On October 28, 2013 Claimant submitt ed a Semi-Annual Contact Report along with handwritten reports of her earnings and expenses for August, September, and October of 2013.
- 3. On November 22, 2013, a Verification Checklist was sent to Cla imant, with a du e date of December 2, 2013, requiring Claimant to provide additional documentation to substantiate her reported income and expenses.
- 4. Claimant did not respond with v erification by the due date and, on Dec ember 4, 2013, her FAP was closed.
- 5. On December 9, 2013, Claim ant submitted copies of ta x records for the 2012 tax year, and a hearing request.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), D epartment of Human Servic es Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 197 7, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271. 1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

"Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility. This includes completion of necessary forms; see Refusal to Cooperate Penalties in this item. Clie nts must complete ly and truthfully ans wer all qu estions on forms and in interviews." BAM 105.

Claimant explained that she s ubmitted documentation in October reflecting her income and expenses. However, the Verification Checklist was maile d to her approximately four weeks later, instructing her to provide:

"One of three sour ces to verify your self-employ ment income and expenses. First source, tax returns from 2012 to verify self-employment income and expenses. Second source, the past three months selfemployment statements (DHS-0431) with a ll income and expense se listed with all rec eipts for both income and expenses. Third source, the past three months self-employment statements (DHS-0431) without receipts for both income and expenses which will generate a fee investigation. Failure to provide verifications by the due date will cause your case to be closed."

She did not respond and her case was closed. After the case was closed, she provided copies of her 2012 tax return.

In her 2012 "Profit or Loss from Business" (Schedule C) Claimant reported advertising expenses, supplies, and other expenses associated with her job as a nail technician. In Part V of the attachment she identified the other expenses as "Booth Rental" which made up t he full \$ for the in other expenses es she reported. She claimed advertising expenses of \$ for that year were \$ for that

In the Semi-Annual Contact Report (Exhibit 1) claimant was asked if her income had changed by more than \$ from the monthly gross income of \$ used in her FAP budget. Claimant did not ans wer that ques tion. Instead she provided handwritten statements of her mont hly income, and copies of numerous receipts for supplies and booth rent.

Date Income		Date Expen	se		
10/2/13 \$		10/6/13	\$		
10/3/13 \$		10/11/13	\$		
10/4/13 \$		10/14/13	\$	8	
10/5/13 \$		10/21/13	\$		
10/8/13 \$		10/5/13	\$		
10/9/13 \$		10/12/13	\$		
10/10/13 \$		10/19/13	\$		
10/11/13 \$		10/26/13	\$		
10/16/13 \$					
10/17/13 \$					
10/19/13 \$					
10/22/13 \$					
10/23/13 \$					
10/24/13 \$					
10/25/13 \$					
10/26/13 \$					
Total		Total			

Based upon the October incom e and expenses, Claimant's profit for the month was far below her average for the prior year. If the Claimant would have completed the DHS-431 for each month, it is possible she could have received MORE in FAP. But, she did not provide ANY documentation in response to the request. Whether she could have received more or less is not the issue in this decision. The issue is whether the Claimant provided timely verification in response t o the request. The evidenc e is persuasive that the V erification Checklist was mailed to the Claimant at her address of record. The evidence also establishes that the Claimant did not fully respond by the deadline. Because s he did not comply by ti mely providing her verification, she was properly subject to negative action.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Darryl T. Johnson Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 15, 2014

Date Mailed: January 15, 2014

NOTICE OF APP EAL: The claimant may appea I the Dec ision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsiderati on was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reas ons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

