STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-16687

Issue No(s).:

3008

Case No.: Hearing Date:

January 15, 2014

County:

Macomb-20

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Darryl T. Johnson

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 19, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Clai mant included the Claim ant on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly reduce Claimant's F ood Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an on-going FAP recipient.
- 2. A Consolidated Inquiry by the Department disclosed that Claimant had been receiving per month for providing in-home care for a friend of his.
- Claimant's friend died October 1, 2013.
- 4. Claimant no longer pr ovided in-home care after October 2013 and his income ended as of November 7, 2013.
- In a Notice of Case Action dat ed November 27, 2013, Claimant's FAP was decreased as of January 1, 2014 because the budget took into account his income for providing in-hom e care, a reduction in his monthly rent expense, and child support expense.
- 6. On December 6, 2013, Claimant requested a hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), D epartment of Human Service es Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271. It to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Claimant testified that he received \$ per month for providing home health care for his friend, and after she died in Octobe r 2013 he no longer received the income because he was no longer providing care. The Department confirmed that there was no evidence that he rec eived any payments after November 7, 2013. Claimant did not dispute the amounts used by the Department in his budget – he simply contested the use of the earned income because he was no longer receiving it.

There is no evidence that the Department erred in its calculat ion of Claimant's FAP benefits after taking into account his monthly earned and unear ned income. He had received earned income in November, for work that he performed in October. While it is not within the scope of this hearing, it is curious how the Claimant earned for in-home care that he provided in October 2013 before his friend died on October 1, 2013. That point of curiosity is not, how ever, affecting the findings in this matter. Because the Notice of Case Action was i ssued during the same month that Claim ant last received his earned income, the Department was correct in its action. Since the Claimant has provided evidence that his friend is decleased and that he is no longer earning income, the Department might wish to recalculate his FAP to reflect his loss of earned income.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it decreased Claim ant's Food Assistanc e Program benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Darryl T. Johnson
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 15, 2014

Date Mailed: January 16, 2014

NOTICE OF APP EAL: The claimant may appea I the Dec ision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsiderati on was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly disc overed evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reas ons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

DTJ/las

