STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201414283

Issue No.: <u>5001</u>

Case No.:

Hearing Date: January 16, 2013 County: Gratiot County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's r equest for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a t elephone hearing was held on January 1 6, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department of Human Services (Department) properly deny the Claimant's request for assistance with automobile repairs?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant submitted an application for assistance with automobile repairs.
- 2. The Claimant is not employed.
- 3. On November 18, 2013, the Department denied the Claimant 's application for assistance.
- The Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing on November 21, 2013, protesting the denial of his applic ation for assistance with automobile repairs

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Emergency Relief (S ER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administer ed pursuant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and by final administrative rules filed with the Secretary of State on October 28, 1993. MAC R 400.7001-400.7049. Family Independence Agency (FIA or agen cy) policies are found in t he Stat e Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

Department of Human Servic es (Department) assists families to ac hieve se If-sufficiency. The primary avenue to self-su fficiency is employment. The Department may authorize vehicle repairs for each participant for a vehicle that is the primary means of transportation for employment-related activities, even if public transit is av ailable. A vehicle may be repaired for a currently employ ed client if the client needs a vehicle to accept a verified offer of a better job or needs a vehicle to retain current employment; and has a demonstrated ability to maintain a job. A vehicle may be repaired for a client who is not currently employed if the client needs a vehicle to accept a verified job offer; or needs a vehicle to participate in family se If-sufficiency activities that will prepare the client for employment. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 252 (October 1, 2013), pp 1-15.

In this case, the Claimant submitted an application for Direct Support Service s requesting assistance with automobile repairs.

The Claim ant testified that he is not employed. The Claim ant testified that he is disabled and is unable to work.

Based on the evidence and testimony ava ilable during the hearing, the Department established that it properly denied the Claimant's Direct Support Services because he is not working, and the repair were not intended to facilitate employment.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department po licy when it denied the Claimant's Direct Support Services application.

Accordingly, the D	Department's decision is AFFIRMED .		
		Keni	Duly
	Kevin	<i>/</i>	Scully
		Administrative L	.aw Judge
		for Maura D. Corriga	n, Director
		Department of Humai	n Services

Date Signed: January 17, 2014

Date Mailed: January 17, 2014

NOTICE OF APP EAL: The claimant may appea I the Dec ision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsiderati on was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly disc overed evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reas ons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/hj

CC:

