# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201414044

Issue No.: <u>3002</u>

Case No.: Hearing Date:

County:

January 7, 2014 SSPC-WEST

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

## **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's r equest for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing wa s held on January 7, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claim ant included Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department of Human Servic es (Department) properly determined clos ed the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits for failure to provide the Department with information necessary to determine her eligibility to receive benefits.?

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claim ant applied for Food Assi stance Program (FAP) benefits on September 23, 2013.
- On October 9, 2013, the Department s ent the Claimant a Verific ation Checklist (DHS-3503) with a due date of October 21, 2013; t he Department reques ted verification of a bank account.
- 3. The Claimant submitted a doc ument to the Departm ent that was insufficient verification of her bank account.
- 4. On November 7, 2013, t he Department notified the Claim ant that it would clos e her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits as of November 1, 2013.
- The Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing on November 15, 2013, protesting the closure of her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility. This inc ludes the completion of necessary forms. Department of Human Services Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 105 (March 1, 2013), p 5. Verification means documentation or other evidence e to establish the accuracy of the client's verbal or written statements. Department of Human Services Bri dges Assistance Manual (BAM) 130 (May 1, 2012), p 1. Verific ation is usually required at application/redetermination and for a reported change affecting elig ibility or benefit level when it is required by policy, required as a local office option, or information regarding an eligibility factor is unclear, inconsistent, incomplete, or contradictory. BAM 130. The Department uses to verify information. BAM 130. A documents, collateral contacts, or home calls collateral contact is a direct contact with a person, organization, or agency to verify information from the client. BAM 130. W hen documentation is not available, or clarification is needed, collateral contact may be necessary. BAM 130.

The Claimant applied for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits on September 23, 2013. On October 9, 2013, the Department s ent the Claimant a Veri fication Checklist (DHS-3503) with a due date of October 21, 2103. The Department had requested that the Claimant provide verification of a bank account listed on her application for benefits.

The Claimant provided with a document she had intended to be verification of her bank account, but the Department found this document to be insufficient because it did not identify the asset as belonging to the Claimant.

On November 7, 2013, the Department noti fied the Claimant that it would close her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits as of November 1, 2013.

The Claimant argued that she was willing to provide the requested information and was unaware that the document she submitted was insufficient. The Claimant testified that she has been a Food Assistance Program (FAP) recipient in the past, and that previous caseworkers would give her the opportunity to correct deficiencies in her application for benefits.

The Claim ant's application was submitted to the Department on the internet, and Department policy places a duty on her to submit all information necessary to determine her eligibility to receive benefits. The Department is required to conduct an interview with the Claimant before approving benefits where she had to opportunity to as k questions about what was required of her. Following this interview, the Department sent the Claimant written not lice of the documents she was required to submit. Department policy does not require the Department to monitor the Claimant's application process other than to provide the Claimant with adeq uate and timely notice of the eligibility factors.

Based on the evidence and test imony available during the hear ing, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department properly applied its policies when it closed the Claimant's Food As sistance Program (FAP) be nefits for failure to provide the Department with information necessary to determine her eligibility to receive benefits.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department po licy when it closed the Cla imant's Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) benefits.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

/s/		
	Kevin	Scully
		Administrative Law Judge
		for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
		Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 8, 2014

Date Mailed: January 9, 2014

**NOTICE OF APP EAL:** The claimant may appea I the Dec ision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly disc overed evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;

#### 201414044/KS

 Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reas ons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/hj

CC:

