STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-13727

Issue No(s).: 3001

Case No.: Hearing Date:

January 15, 2014

County: Kent

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Darryl T. Johnson

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a t elephone hearing was held on January 1 5, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claim ant, on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist and Family Independence Manager.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly benefits?

close Claimant's Food A ssistance Progr am (FAP)

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an on-going FAP recipient.
- 2. On October 20, 2013, a Verification Checklist was mailed to Claimant.
- 3. On October 31, 2013, the Department rece ived a Verification of Employment form from which said Claimant had quit her job on August 12, 2013.
- 4. On November 1, 2013, the Department mailed a Notice of Case Action informing Claimant that her FAP was being closed effective December 1, 2013 because she had voluntarily quit her job.
- 5. On November 12, 2013, Claimant requested a hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), D epartment of Human Service es Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271. It to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

BEM 230B defines the work obligations imposed on FAP rec ipients. Specifically, at page 2 it says:

Non-deferred adults who are already working may not do any of the following:

Voluntarily quit a job of 30 hour s or more per week without good cause.

Voluntarily reduce hours of employment below 30 hours per week without good cause.

Also,

Non-deferred adults who are not working or are working less than 30 hours per week must:

Accept a bona fide offer of employment.

Participate in activities required to receive unemployment benefits if the client has applied for or is receiving unemployment benefits.

Claimant was working through a staffing agency at a temporary assignment. She would ride a bus to-and-from the assignment. There were many days that she would show up for work when she was on the "wait to punch list" which required a number of employees to report to the job site and wait to see if work was available. If no work was available, they would be sent home without any earnings for the day.

The staffing agency originally reported (Exhibit 1, page 29) in a fax received by the Department October 31, 2013, that Claimant had quit her job. In a fax received November 11, 2013, the same employer reported that she was "laid off." See Exhibit 1, page 50. Claimant testified that she did not quit; she was laid off.

The Department's witness testified that s he received conflicting reports about how Claimant became separated from her previous employmen t. Originally the employer said she quit, but later the employer said she was la id off due to lack of work. The

Claimant testified that she was laid off. In any case, she was not wo rking at a job that was 30 hours or more per week. There is no evidence that she voluntarily reduced her hours of employment below 30 hours per week, or that she did not accept a bona fide offer of employment.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing t hat it acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEP ARTMENT IS ORDERE D TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WIT H DE PARTMENT P OLICY AND CONS ISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAY S OF THE DA TE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Redetermine Claimant's FAP benefit eligibility, effective December 1, 2013;
- 2. Issue a supplement to Claimant for any benefits improperly not issued.

Darryl T. Johnson
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 15, 2014

Date Mailed: January 16, 2014

NOTICE OF APP EAL: The claimant may appea I the Dec ision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsiderati on was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reas ons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

DTJ/las

