STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-597 Issue No.: 2001

Case No.:

Hearing Date: November 25, 2013

County: Wayne (76)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on November 25, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants included the above-named Claimant.

and appeared as Claimant's authorized hearing representative (AHR). Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (DHS) included Specialist, and Supervisor.

ISSUE

The issue is whether DHS properly determined Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) eligibility.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On //13, Claimant applied for MA benefits.
- 2. Claimant's application noted a claim for disability.
- On an unspecified date, DHS evaluated Claimant for MA benefits without factoring Claimant's claim of disability.

4. On _____/13, Claimant's AHR requested a hearing to compel the processing of Claimant's MA benefit application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105. Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) and Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Claimant's AHR requested a hearing to compel DHS to process Claimant's MA benefit application dated 1/13. In the DHS Hearing Summary, DHS addressed a Claimant application from 1/13. During the hearing, Claimant's AHR presented DHS with a document with a DHS office date stamp from 1/13. The document listed various items that were submitted to DHS on 1/13, including an Assistance Application. The evidence was persuasive evidence that an Assistance Application was submitted to DHS on 1/13.

As it happened, DHS processed the application. DHS presented testimony that Claimant was evaluated for Adult Medical Program (AMP) benefits and denied because there was a freeze on enrollments. Such an outcome would be appropriate if Claimant did not alleged a claim of disability.

DHS policy outlines detailed procedures for evaluating a client's MA benefit eligibility based on a claimed disability. Step one is that the client claims disability. BAM 815 (6/2012), p. 2. Once a client claims disability, DHS is to perform 25 other step which include: interview the client, register the application and provide the client with a Medical-Social Questionnaire.

During the hearing, DHS conceded that Claimant should have been evaluated for MA benefits based on a claim of disability. The DHS concession was consistent with DHS policy and the presented facts. It is found that DHS failed to evaluate Claimant for MA benefits based on a claim of disability.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that DHS improperly denied Claimant's application for MA benefits. It is ordered that DHS:

(1) re-register Claimant's MA benefit application dated // 13; and

(2) initiate processing of Claimant's MA benefit application subject to the finding that Claimant's application asserted a claim of disability.

The actions taken by DHS are **REVERSED**.

Christian Gardocki
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 12/17/2013

Date Mailed: <u>12/17/2013</u>

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CG/hw

