STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-2497

Issue No(s).: 5001 Case No.:

Hearing Date: December 17, 2013

County: Washtenaw

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 17, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Family Independence Manager (FIM)

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly process Claimant's request for State Emergency Relief (SER) assistance with utility/energy services?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- On July 1, 2013, Claimant applied for SER assistance with utility/energy services.
- 2. On July 2, 2013, the Department sent Claimant the SER Decision Notice.
- 3. On September 30, 2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the SER decision.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The SER program is administered by the Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) pursuant to MCL 400.10 and by Mich

Admin Code, R 400.7001 through R 400.7049. Department policies are found in the Department of Human Services State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

In this case, the Claimant's hearing request indicated that he was protesting that has now been capped at \$ when his previous assistance with cap was \$ Emergency Relief Manual (ERM) 100 (2013) p. 4, provides that the cap for assistance with is \$ When the Administrative Law Judge confirmed with the Claimant that the cap is \$ per the Department's policy, the Claimant did then state he was also protesting the co-payment of \$ was required to pay. The Administrative Law Judge did then carefully review the 2.98 places the Department's Exhibit #3, which indicates that the Claimant at \$ for istance for the last fiscal year. As, such, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that when the Department determined the Claimant's cap for was \$ and that the Claimant's was therefore \$ it was acting in accordance with its policy.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

☐ acted in accordance with Department policy when it issued its SER Decision Notice.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's SER decision is X AFFIRMED.

/s/

Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 1/14/14

Date Mailed: 1/14/14

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the Claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

