

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2014-17034
Issue No(s): 1001
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: January 9, 2014
County: Wayne (18)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 9, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED], Assistance Payment Supervisor and [REDACTED], Eligibility Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's application for Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On October 15, 2013, Claimant submitted an application for FIP benefits.
2. On October 15, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a PATH Appointment Notice instructing him to attend PATH orientation on October 28, 2013. (Exhibit 1)
3. On November 27, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing him that for November 1, 2013, ongoing, his application for FIP was denied. (Exhibit 3).
4. On December 5, 2013, Claimant requested a hearing, disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

Additionally, PATH participants must complete the 21-day PATH application eligibility period (AEP) part of orientation which is an eligibility requirement for approval of the FIP application. BEM 229 (July 2013), pp. 1, 6. Failure by a client to participate fully in assigned activities while the FIP application is pending will result in denial of FIP benefits. BEM 229, p. 6. This requires that the client (i) begin the AEP by the last date to attend as indicated on the PATH Appointment Notice, (ii) complete the PATH AEP requirements, and (iii) continue to participate in PATH after completion of the 21-day AEP. BEM 229, p.1.

In this case, Claimant submitted an application for FIP benefits on October 15, 2013. In connection with that application, Claimant was referred to PATH orientation and attended on October 28, 2013. The Department initially testified that because Claimant failed to complete the 21 day AEP, his FIP application was denied. A review of the case notes from Claimant's PATH worker revealed that Claimant did in fact complete the 21 day AEP. (Exhibit 2). The Department then stated that the FIP application was denied on the basis that Claimant did not continue to participate in PATH after completion of the 21 day AEP. The Department stated that on November 27, 2013, it sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing him of the denial of his FIP application. (Exhibit 3).

The Department further testified that according to the case notes, Claimant was in noncompliance because he failed to attend a scheduled appointment on November 19, 2013, and a Reengagement meeting on November 21, 2013. Claimant's PATH case worker was not present for the hearing, so it remained unclear why Claimant was determined to be in noncompliance and a reengagement meeting scheduled, prior to Claimant being approved for FIP benefits.

At the hearing, Claimant credibly testified that from November 19, 2013, to November 26, 2013, he was in South Carolina for work and that he informed his PATH worker that he would be out of town during that time. The case notes support Claimant's testimony. (Exhibit 2). Claimant also credibly stated that he did not receive any communications from the Department or appointment notices for meetings that he was supposed to attend on November 19, 2013, or November 21, 2013.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that because the Department did not present sufficient evidence to establish that Claimant failed to continue to participate with the PATH program, the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's FIP application.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Register and process Claimant's October 15, 2013, FIP application, taking into consideration Claimant's completion of the 21 day AEP;
2. Issue supplements to Claimant for any FIP benefits that he was eligible to receive but did not from the date of application ongoing; and
3. Notify Claimant of its decision in writing.



Zainab Baydoun
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 14, 2014

Date Mailed: January 14, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;

2014-17034/ZB

- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ZB/tm

cc:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]