STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-16423 Issue No(s).: 2001;3008

Case No.:

Hearing Date: January 9, 2014 County: Oakland (02)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 9, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Payment Worker.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly calculate Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits and her Medical Assistance (MA) deductible?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP and MA benefits.
- 2. In connection with a redetermination, Claimant's eligibility for FAP and MA benefits was reviewed.
- 3. On November 4, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing her that effective December 1, 2013, she was approved for MA under a deductible based program with her deductible being and that for December 1, 2013, she was approved for FAP benefits in the amount of monthly. (Exhibit 1)

4. On November 25, 2013, Claimant submitted a hearing request disputing the amount of her FAP benefits and the amount of her deductible.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

FAP

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, Claimant submitted a hearing request disputing the Department's calculation of her FAP benefits. Effective December 1, 2013, Claimant's five person FAP group was approved for monthly benefits of (Exhibit 1). At the hearing, the Department testified that there may have been some errors in the calculation of Claimant's FAP benefits. The Department acknowledged that Claimant timely submitted the summer tax information that had been requested from her and that the Department failed to consider this information when determining Claimant's FAP benefits.

Therefore, the Department has failed to satisfy its burden in establishing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined that Claimant was eligible for in monthly FAP benefits.

MA

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's calculation of her MA deductible. The Department testified that effective December 1, 2013, Claimant was approved for MA under the Group 2 Caretaker Relative program and that her monthly deductible would be

Additionally, individuals are eligible for Group 2 MA coverage when net income (countable income minus allowable income deductions) does not exceed the applicable Group 2 MA protected income levels (PIL), which is based on shelter area and fiscal group size. BEM 135 (July 2013), p 1; BEM 544 (July 2013), p 1; BEM 545(July 2013);

RFT 200 (July 2007);RFT 240 (July 2007), p 1. A fiscal group is established for each person requesting MA and budgetable income is determined for each fiscal group member. BEM 211 (July 2013); BEM 536 (July 2013). A multi-step process is utilized when determining a fiscal group member's income. BEM 536, pp. 1-5. Thus, if Claimant's net monthly income is in excess of the PIL, she may become eligible for assistance under the deductible program, with the deductible being equal to the amount that his monthly income exceeds PIL.

At the hearing, the Department failed to produce a MA budget showing how the deductible in Claimant's case was calculated. The Department testified that it considered income earned from Claimant's husband in making the calculation, however, the Department remained unable to explain exactly what income amounts were used or the number of dependents applied to the budget in calculating the total net income. Therefore, the Department has failed to satisfy its burden in establishing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined that Claimant was eligible for MA with a deductible of \$\infty\$

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it calculated Claimant's FAP benefits and her MA deductible.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Recalculate Claimant's FAP budget and MA deductible for December 1, 2013, ongoing;
- 2. Issue supplements to Claimant for any FAP and MA benefits that she was entitled to receive but did not from December 1, 2013, ongoing; and
- 3. Notify Claimant in writing of its decision.

Zainab Baydoun

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Lamab Raydown

Date Signed: January 13, 2014

Date Mailed: January 14, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

