STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2014-12897 2001 December 18, 2013 Ingham
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fah	ie	
HEARING DECISION		
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, December 18, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant's wife, Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Jacqueline Jones, FIS, and Cindy Adado, FIM.		
ISSUE		
Due to excess assets, did the Department properly		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	State Disability	Assistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)? cy Relief (SER)?
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including the testimony at the hearing, finds as material fact:		
1. Claimant ☑ applied for ☐ received: ☑ FIP ☑ FAP ☑ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ SER benefits.		
 Due to excess assets, on November 5, 2013, ⊠ denied Claimant's application. ☐ closed 	the Department Claimant's case.	

- 3. On November 5, 2013, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.
- 4. On November 8, 2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 JSC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP oursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family ndependence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.
The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315 and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10.
The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.31513180.
The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1119b. The SER program is administered by the Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) pursuant to MCL 400.10 and by Mich Admin Code, R 400.7001 through R 400.7049.

Additionally, the Claimant applied for FIP, FAP, and MA on October 7, 2013. Department Exhibit 38-61. The Claimant had savings and checking accounts with a

balance of Department Exhbit 19-33. The Claimant had one car that was exempt, that was the most expensive, then a second vehicle which was valued at . Department Exhibit 34-37.
The Claimant had excess assets for FIP, FAP, and MA. The Claimant failed the asset test for FIP with a total countable asset of but the asset limit was \$3,000. Department Exhibit 13-14. The Claimant failed the asset test for MA with a total countable asset of but the asset limit was \$3,000. Department Exhibit 15-16. The Claimant failed the asset test for FAP with a total countable asset of \$5,076.49 from liquid assets of and 2 nd countable vehicle of (which was incorrectly bugeted and should have been), but the asset limit was \$5,000. Department Exhibit 17-18. The Claimant was still over the asset limit with BEM 400.
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department
\boxtimes acted in accordance with Department policy when it properly determined that the Claimant had excess assets for FIP, FAP, and MA.
DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

AFFIRMED.

Carmen G. Fahie
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 01/08/2014

Date Mailed: <u>01/08/2014</u>

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/pw

CC: