

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No: 2013-69810  
Issue No: 2009; 4009  
Case No: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: February 11, 2014  
Oakland County DHS #3

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Landis Y. Lain

**HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 11, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and her brother in law [REDACTED], who acted as Claimant's [REDACTED]. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED], Eligibility Specialist.

**ISSUE**

Whether Claimant meets the disability criteria for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On April 22, 2013, Claimant filed an application for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance benefits alleging disability.
2. On August 30, 2013, the Medical Review Team denied Claimant's application stating that Claimant could perform other work.
3. On September 17, 2013, the Department caseworker sent Claimant notice that the application was denied.
4. On September 26, 2013, Claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the Department's negative action.
5. On October 31, 2013, the State Hearing Review Team again denied Claimant's application.

6. The hearing was held on February 11, 2014. At the hearing, Claimant waived the time periods and requested to submit additional medical information.
7. Additional medical information was submitted and sent to the State Hearing Review Team on February 13, 2014.
8. On April 23, 2014, the State Hearing Review Team approved Claimant stating in its recommendation: the Claimant's impairments do not meet/equal the intent or severity of an appropriate Social Security listing. The medical evidence of record indicates that the Claimant retains the capacity to perform sedentary work. However, based on the Claimant's vocational profile of Claimant approaching advanced age, [REDACTED] and unskilled work history, and AP is approved using vocational rule 201.09 as a guide. Retroactive MA-P was considered in this case and is approved effective January 1, 2013. A medical review of April 2015 is recommended.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (BAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Because of the SHRT determination, it is not necessary for the Administrative Law Judge to discuss the issue of disability, per BAM, Item 600.

The Department is required to initiate a determination of Claimant's financial eligibility for the requested benefits, if not previously done.


### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Claimant meets the definition of medically disabled under the Medical Assistance Program in accordance with the state hearing review team decision disability onset date of January 1, 2013 and the State Disability Assistance Program as of the April 22, 2013 application date.

Accordingly, the Department is **ORDERED** to initiate a review of the application if it is not already done so, to determine if all other non-medical eligibility criteria are met. The Department shall inform the Claimant of the determination in writing.

A medical review should be scheduled for April 2015. The Department should check to see if Claimant is in current payment status with the Social Security Administration or not. If the Claimant is in current payment status at the medical review no further action will be necessary. However, if the Claimant is not in current payment status at the medical review, the Department is to obtain updated application forms (DHS49) and obtain updated medical records.

It is **ORDERED** that the Department shall review this case in one year from the date of this Decision and Order.



Landis Y. Lain  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 5/2/14

Date Mailed: 5/5/14

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LYL/tb

cc:



