

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

██████████
██
████████████████████

Reg. No.: 2013-67302
Issue No.: 5016
Case No.: ██████████
Hearing Date: October 10, 2013
County: Monroe

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on Thursday, October 10, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant, and █████ █████ and Authorized Representative, ██████████. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Michele Long, ES.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly process Claimant's request for State Emergency Relief (SER) assistance with utility/energy services?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On August 14, 2013, Claimant applied for SER assistance with utility/energy services.
2. On August 22, 2013, the Department sent Claimant the SER Decision Notice.
3. On August 28, 2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the SER decision.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The SER program is administered by the Department (formerly

known as the Family Independence Agency) pursuant to MCL 400.10 and by Mich Admin Code, R 400.7001 through R 400.7049. Department policies are found in the Department of Human Services State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

Additionally, the Claimant applied for SER on August 14, 2013. Department Exhibit 6-8. The Claimant provided a verification of a bill that had been sent to a Collections Agency. Department Exhibit 5. On August 22, 2013, the Department denied the Claimant's SER application because a bankrupt bill is not a covered service. Department Exhibit 2. ERM 103 and 301.

The Department properly determined that the Claimant was not eligible for SER for utilities because his utility bill had already been sent to a Collection Agency, which is not a covered service.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

- acted in accordance with Department policy
- did not act in accordance with Department policy
- failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy

when it issued its SER Decision Notice.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's SER decision is **AFFIRMED** REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

/s/ _____
Carmen G. Fahie
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/28/2013

Date Mailed: 10/28/2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was

made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/pw

cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]