

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

██████████
████████████████████
████████████████████

Reg. No.: 2013-56522
Issue No.: 3008
Case No.: ██████████
Hearing Date: August 5, 2013
County: SSPC – EAST (98)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Eric Feldman

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a three-way telephone hearing was held on August 5, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ██████████ Eligibility Specialist, and ██████████, Assistant Payment Supervisor.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) application due to Claimant's failure to comply with the verification requirements?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On May 7, 2013, Claimant applied for FAP benefits online.
2. On June 6, 2013, Claimant held an interview with the Department.
3. On June 6, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Verification Checklist (VCL) and a Self-Employment Income and Expense Statement, which were due back by June 17, 2013. Exhibit 1.
4. The Department never received the requested verifications.

5. On June 25, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action notifying him that his FAP benefits were denied effective June 1, 2013, ongoing, due to his failure to comply with the verification requirements. Exhibit 1.
6. On July 8, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of his FAP benefits. Exhibit 1.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.

As a preliminary matter, Claimant's hearing request was scheduled as a three-way hearing. However, Claimant testified that he never requested a telephone hearing. Claimant testified, though, that the hearing could proceed via telephone.

In this case, on May 7, 2013, Claimant applied for FAP benefits online. On June 6, 2013, Claimant held an interview with the Department. The Department testified that Claimant stated at the interview that he had self-employment income. Thus, on June 6, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Verification Checklist (VCL) and a Self-Employment Income and Expense Statement, which were due back by June 17, 2013. Exhibit 1. The Department testified that it never received the requested verifications. The Department also testified that it never received any phone calls from the Claimant regarding the requested verifications. On June 25, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action notifying him that his FAP benefits were denied effective June 1, 2013, ongoing, due to his failure to comply with the verification requirements. Exhibit 1.

Clients must cooperate with the local office in completing necessary forms for determining initial and ongoing eligibility. BAM 105 (March 2013), p. 5. The client must obtain required verification, but the Department must assist if they need and request help. BAM 130 (May 2012), p. 3. If neither the client nor the Department can obtain verification despite a reasonable effort, the Department uses the best available information. BAM 130, p. 3. If no evidence is available, the Department uses its best judgment. BAM 130, p. 3.

For FAP cases, the Department allows the client 10 calendar days (or other time limit specified in policy) to provide the verification it requests. BAM 130, p. 5. Also for FAP cases, if the client indicates refusal to provide a verification or the time period given has

elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it, then policy directs that a negative action be issued. BAM 130, p. 5.


At the hearing, Claimant testified that he received the VCL in June 2013. Claimant testified that he replied and sent the Department the verifications it requested. Also, Claimant testified that he received the verification request again in late July 2013. Claimant testified that he responded to this request as well. It appears that this request possibly is in regards to a subsequent benefit application. Nevertheless, Claimant testified that he replied to both verification requests.

Based on the foregoing information and evidence, the Department properly denied Claimant's FAP application effective June 1, 2013, ongoing. The Department credibly testified that it never received any of the verifications it requested on June 6, 2013. See Exhibit 1. Moreover, the Department credibly testified that it never received any phone calls from the Claimant regarding any request for assistance. Claimant testified that he responded to the documents requested. However, Claimant failed to provide any evidence that he did reply to the verification request timely. Thus, the Department properly denied Claimant's FAP application effective June 1, 2013, ongoing, in accordance with Department policy. BAM 130, p. 5.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department properly denied Claimant's FAP application effective June 1, 2013, ongoing.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.



Eric Feldman
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 13, 2013

Date Mailed: August 13, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

EJF/cl

cc:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]