

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 201353088  
Issue No.: 3002  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: July 17, 2013  
County: Wayne County (#76)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHELLE HOWIE**

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted on Wednesday July 17, 2013 from Detroit, Michigan. The Claimant appeared and testified. Participant on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) was [REDACTED] (Family Independence Manager).

**ISSUE**

Whether the Department properly determined the amount of Claimants Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant is an ongoing FAP recipient.
2. On June 1, 2013, the Department reduced Claimant's benefits due to an increase in monthly unearned income.
3. On May 31, 2013, the Department sent Claimant notice of the reduction in benefits.
4. On June 19, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the reduction of benefits.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015.

The Department determines a client's eligibility for program benefits based on the client's actual income and/or prospective income. BEM 500 (January 2013), p. 3. All countable earned and unearned income available to the client must be considered in determining the client's eligibility for program benefits. BEM 500 (November 2012) All income is converted to a monthly amount. BEM 505 (October 2010) A standard monthly amount must be determined for each income source used in the budget. BEM 505, p. 1. Weekly benefit amounts are converted to a monthly amount by multiplying the weekly amount by 4.3. BEM 505, p. 6. Bi-weekly amounts are converted by multiplying the amount by 2.15. BEM 505, p. 6.

In this case, the Claimant receives SSI income of \$222/monthly, RSDI of \$508/monthly and SSP of \$14/quarterly. A review of the FAP summary budget shows the Department properly applied the \$148 standard deduction applicable to a FAP group size of one, and the \$575 standard heat/utility deduction available to all FAP recipients. Claimant's net income is \$319 after all applicable deductions. The allowable benefit amount for a group of one based on the net income amount is \$104. RFT 250 (November 2012). Therefore, the Department established it acted in accordance with policy when it determined Claimant's FAP allotment. While Claimant reported having housing and medical expenses that were not budgeted, she needs to provide verification of the expenses to the Department. Any changes or expenses reported will be processed by the Department in accordance with policy to affect future FAP benefits.

Accordingly, the Department action is UPHELD.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department acted in accordance with policy when it determined Claimant's FAP allotment effective June 1, 2013.

Accordingly, the Department's FAP determination is hereby, **AFFIRMED**.

*M. Howie*

**Michelle Howie**  
Administrative Law Judge  
For Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 7/25/2013

Date Mailed: 7/25/2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at  
Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MH/hw

cc:

