

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2013-51511  
Issue No.: 3008  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: July 3, 2013  
County: SSPC East (98)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Christian Gardocki

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a three-way telephone hearing was held on July 3, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants included the above-named Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (DHS) included [REDACTED], Manager.

**ISSUE**

The issue is whether DHS properly denied Claimant's application for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits due to Claimant's failure to comply with verification requirements.

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On 4/18/13, Claimant applied for FAP benefits.
2. Claimant's application listed that Claimant had a checking account.
3. On an unspecified date, DHS approved Claimant for expedited FAP benefits for 4/2013 and 5/2013.
4. On 5/1/13, DHS mailed Claimant a Verification Checklist (VCL).
5. The VCL due date was 5/13/13.

6. Claimant failed to return the requested checking account information to DHS.
7. On 5/28/13, DHS denied Claimant's FAP application for FAP benefits, effective 6/2013, due to Claimant's failure to return verification of checking account.
8. On 6/3/13, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the FAP benefit denial.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015. DHS regulations are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The present case concerns an application denial following processing of expedited FAP benefits. It was not disputed that the denial was based on a failure by Claimant to verify checking account information.

DHS is to verify assets at application and at redetermination. BEM 400 (7/2012), p 43. DHS is to use the DHS-3503, Verification Checklist to request verification. BAM 130 (5/2012), p. 3. DHS must give clients at least ten days to submit verifications. *Id.* DHS must tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date. *Id.*, p. 2. For FAP benefits, DHS is to send a negative action notice when:


- the client indicates refusal to provide a verification, or
- the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it.

*Id.*, p. 5.

Claimant conceded that he received a VCL requesting verification of his checking account balance. Claimant also conceded not returning the requested verification. Claimant testified that he requested a hearing in the hopes of continuing benefit eligibility. Claimant's hearing request was an inappropriate way to continue benefits. Claimant should have responded to the closure notice by either submitting the requested verification, or by reapplying for FAP benefits. Based on the presented evidence, it is found that DHS properly denied Claimant's application due to his failure to verify required information.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that DHS properly denied Claimant's FAP benefit application dated 4/18/13 for FAP benefits, effective 6/2013. The actions taken by DHS are AFFIRMED.

  
Christian Gardocki  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 7/15/2013

Date Mailed: 7/15/2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at  
Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P. O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CG/hw

cc:

