

STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 201338659
Issue No.: 1005, 2006, 3008
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: May 1, 2013
County: SSPC-West

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: C. Adam Purnell

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 1, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included [REDACTED] (Claimant's former spouse and Authorized Hearing Representative) and [REDACTED] (Claimant). Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED] (Assistance Payments Worker).

ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly deny Claimant's application for Food Assistance Program (FAP), Family Independence Program (FIP) and Medical Assistance (MA) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for FAP on February 19, 2013.
2. On March 26, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the amount of MA, FIP and FAP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The client has the right to request a hearing for any action, failure to act or undue delay by the department. BAM 105. The department provides an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine its appropriateness. BAM 600.

The regulations that govern the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are contained in the Michigan Administrative Code (Mich Admin Code) Rules 400.901 through 400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to a recipient who is aggrieved by an agency action resulting in suspension, reduction, discontinuance, or termination of assistance. Mich Admin Code 400.903(1).

The application forms and each written notice of case action inform clients of their right to a hearing. BAM 600. These include an explanation of how and where to file a hearing request, and the right to be assisted by and represented by anyone the client chooses. BAM 600. The client must receive a written notice of all case actions affecting eligibility or amount of benefits. When a case action is completed it must specify: (1) the action being taken by the department; (2) the reason(s) for the action; (3) the specific manual item(s) that cites the legal base for an action, or the regulation, or law itself. BAM 220.

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may grant a hearing about any of the following: (1) denial of an application and/or supplemental payments; (2) reduction in the amount of program benefits or service; (3) suspension or termination of program benefits or service; (4) restrictions under which benefits or services are provided; (5) delay of any action beyond standards of promptness and (6) for FAP only, the current level of benefits or denial of expedited service. BAM 600.

The Department local office has 15 (fifteen) days from receipt of hearing request to do **all** of the following: (1) log the request; (2) contact the client or authorized hearing representative; (3) obtain and submit to MAHS verification of the authorized hearing representative's prior authorization, if needed; (4) arrange a prehearing conference¹ including all appropriate staff; (5) determine the nature of the complaint; and (6) forward the request with either a DHS-18A, Hearing Request Withdrawal, or a DHS-3050 to MAHS so that MAHS receives them by the 15 (fifteenth) day.

Policy requires the Department resolve disagreements and misunderstandings quickly at the lowest possible level to avoid unnecessary hearings. BAM 600. Upon receipt of a hearing request, the Department should schedule a prehearing conference with the client or authorized hearing representative and conduct a supervisory review. BAM 600 at page 12. The client or authorized hearing representative is not required to phone or

¹ The conference need not be **held** within the 15 day standard.

meet with any Department staff in order to have a hearing and any notice of prehearing conference must explain this. See BAM 600 page 12.

Department policy further discusses the importance of conducting a prehearing conference. See BAM 600 pages 12 and 13. The policy provides that the Department must assure that clients receive the services and assistance to which they are entitled. BAM 600. Concerns expressed in the hearing request should be resolved whenever possible through a conference with the client or authorized hearing representative rather than through a hearing. BAM 600.

When the Department conducts a prehearing conference, the Department must do all of the following: (1) determine why the client or authorized hearing representative is disputing the DHS action; (2) review any documentation the client or authorized hearing representative has to support his allegation; (3) explain the department's position and identify and discuss the differences; (4) determine whether the dispute can be resolved locally or requires MAHS to resolve; (5) mention to clients the availability of reimbursement for child care or transportation costs incurred in order to attend the hearing. BAM 600 p 13.

For each hearing not resolved at a prehearing conference, the Department is required to complete a Hearing Summary (DHS-3050). BAM 600. In the hearing summary, all case identifiers and notations on case status must be complete; see RFF 3050. BAM 600. The DHS-3050 narrative must include **all** of the following: (1) clear statement of the case action, including all programs involved in the case action; (2) facts which led to the action; (3) policy which supported the action; (4) correct address of the AHR or, if none, the client; and (4) description of the documents the local office intends to offer as exhibits at the hearing. BAM 600.

Clients and AHRs have the right to review the case record and obtain copies of needed documents and materials relevant to the hearing. BAM 600. The Department must send a copy of the DHS-3050 and all documents and records to be used by the department at the hearing to the client **and** AHR. DHS-4772, Hearing Summary Letter, may be used for this purpose. BAM 600.

Department workers who attend the hearings, are instructed to **always** include the following in planning the case presentation: (1) an explanation of the action(s) taken; (2) a summary of the policy or laws used to determine that the action taken was correct; (3) any clarifications by central office staff of the policy or laws used; (4) the facts which led to the conclusion that the policy is relevant to the disputed case action; (5) the DHS procedures ensuring that the client received adequate or timely notice of the proposed action and affording all other rights. BEM 600.

The ALJ determines the facts based only on evidence introduced at the hearing, draws a conclusion of law, and determines whether DHS policy was appropriately applied. BAM 600. The ALJ issues a final decision unless the ALJ believes that the applicable law does not support DHS policy or DHS policy is silent on the issue being considered.

BAM 600. In that case, the ALJ recommends a decision and the policy hearing authority makes the final decision. BAM 600.

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACRS R 400.3101-3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACRS R 400.3001-3015

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

Here, the Department failed to follow policy in the preparation of the hearing summary and the hearing packet. According to the Department representative who attended the hearing, Claimant applied for FAP on February 19, 2013 and listed the wrong telephone number on the application. When the Department attempted to call Claimant for a telephone interview using the phone number provided, the Department was unable to reach Claimant. Purportedly, the Department denied Claimant's application for assistance based on the failure to complete the telephone interview within 30 days of application.

According to Claimant's authorized hearing representative (AHR), Claimant reads at a kindergarten level and did not prepare the application which purportedly included the wrong telephone number. (It should also be noted that Claimant's home address was listed incorrectly as [REDACTED] rather than [REDACTED].)

Testimony and other evidence must be weighed and considered according to its reasonableness. *Gardiner v Courtright*, 165 Mich 54, 62; 130 NW 322 (1911); *Dep't of Community Health v Risch*, 274 Mich App 365, 372; 733 NW2d 403 (2007). The weight and credibility of this evidence is generally for the fact-finder to determine. *Dep't of Community Health*, 274 Mich App at 372; *People v Terry*, 224 Mich App 447, 452; 569 NW2d 641 (1997). Moreover, it is for the fact-finder to gauge the demeanor and veracity of the witnesses who appear before him, as best he is able. See, e.g., *Caldwell v Fox*, 394 Mich 401, 407; 231 NW2d 46 (1975); *Zeeland Farm Services, Inc v JBL Enterprises, Inc*, 219 Mich App 190, 195; 555 NW2d 733 (1996).

This Administrative Law Judge has carefully considered and weighed the testimony and other evidence in the record. The only document the Department included in evidence consisted of a Bridges case comments summary. The Department, however, did not include the application which would have shown the “incorrect” phone number. The Department also did not include the notice of telephone interview which was purportedly mailed to Claimant at his address in [REDACTED] rather than [REDACTED]. In addition, the Department did not include the Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) which would have informed Claimant about the case and the reasons for such action.

The Department has failed to give the client a reasonable opportunity to resolve discrepancies between his or her statements and information from another source before determining his FAP eligibility per BAM 130. The Department also completely failed to address the fact that Claimant clearly requested a hearing regarding MA and FIP. There was no mention of these programs in the hearing summary or in the evidence in this matter.

Based on the lack of documentation, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department has failed to carry its burden of proof and did not provide information necessary to enable this ALJ to determine whether the department followed policy as required under BAM 600. Based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence presented during the hearing, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department acted improperly.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department’s decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- Reopen and re-register Claimant’s FAP case (and MA and FIP cases if applicable) back to the date of closure.
- Redetermine Claimant’s FAP case (including FIP and MA cases, if applicable).
- Provide Claimant with retroactive and/or supplemental benefits provided it is required by policy.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/
C. Adam Purnell
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 2, 2013

Date Mailed: May 3, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CAP/aca

cc:

