

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 201338090  
Issue No.: [REDACTED]  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: August 7, 2013  
County: Kent

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Gary F. Heisler

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 7, 2013. Claimant appeared and testified. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included FIS [REDACTED] and FIM [REDACTED].

**ISSUE**

Did the Department of Human Services properly close Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) on April 1, 2013, because she failed to provide required information and verifications?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was due for eligibility re-determination of her Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits by March 31, 2013.
2. On February 12, 2013, Claimant was sent a Redetermination Form (DHS-1010). The form and proofs were due back on March 1, 2013.
3. On March 4, 2013, the Department received student status verification for Claimant's children but still had not received the Redetermination Form (DHS-1010).
4. On March 18, 2013, the Department had not received the Redetermination Form (DHS-1010). The Department was unable to determine if Claimant was eligible to continue receiving Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits. Claimant was sent a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) which stated her Family Independence Program (FIP) would end on April 1, 2013.

5. On March 22, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACRS R 400.3101-3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

A detailed analysis of the evidence presented, applicable Department policies, and reasoning for the decision are contained in the recorded record. During the hearing Claimant was informed of the decision and the reasoning behind the decision.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department of Human Services properly closed Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) on April 1, 2013, because she failed to provide required information and verifications.

It is ORDERED that the actions of the Department of Human Services, in this matter, are **UPHELD**.

/s/ \_\_\_\_\_  
Gary F. Heisler  
Administrative Law Judge  
For Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 08/16/2013

Date Mailed: 08/19/2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at  
Michigan Administrative hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P. O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

GFH/sw

cc:

