

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH**

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909
(877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 373-4147

IN THE MATTER OF:

██████████,

Appellant.

Docket No. 2013-36920 HHS

██████████

██████████

DECISION AND ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 C.F.R. § 431.200 *et seq.*, upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a hearing was held on ██████████. Appellant appeared and testified on her own behalf. ██████████, Appeals Review Officer, represented the Department of Community Health. ██████████, Adult Services Worker (ASW) from the ██████████ County DHS Office, appeared as a witness for the Department. ██████████ Adult Services Supervisor was also present but did not testify.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Appellant's request for Home Help Services (HHS)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Appellant is a █████-year-old (██████████) Medicaid beneficiary. (Testimony).
2. Appellant has been diagnosed by a physician with tracheal stenosis. (Exhibit A, pp. 9-12 and testimony).
3. On ██████████ Appellant's physician completed a DHS-54A indicating that Appellant had a medical need for assistance with mobility, meal preparation, shopping, laundry, and housework. (Exhibit A, p. 12 and testimony).
4. On ██████████ ██████████, ASW, did a home visit with the Appellant and did a face-to-face assessment to determine Appellant's eligibility for HHS. ██████████ determined that Appellant did not meet the criteria for HHS as she did not need assistance with any of her ADLs. (Exhibit A, pp. 9, 11 and testimony).

██████████
Docket No. 2013-36920 HHS
Decision and Order

5. On ██████████, the Department issued an Advance Action Notice to Appellant informing her that her HHS would be denied based on the new policy requiring the need for hands on assistance of at least one activity of daily living (ADL) and her recent assessment did not the need for assistance with one ADL. (Exhibit A, pp. 5-8 and testimony).
6. On ██████████, the MAHS received Appellant's Request for Hearing. (Exhibit A, p. 4).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Home Help Services (HHS) are provided to enable functionally limited individuals to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings. These activities must be certified by a physician and may be provided by individuals or by private or public agencies.

Adult Services Manual 101 (11-1-2011) (hereinafter "ASM 101") and Adult Services Manual 120 (11-1-2011) (hereinafter "ASM 120") address the issues of what services are included in Home Help Services and how such services are assessed:

Home Help Payment Services

Home Help Services are non-specialized personal care service activities provided under the independent living services program to persons who meet eligibility requirements.

Home Help Services are provided to enable individuals with functional limitation(s), resulting from a medical or physical disability or cognitive impairment to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings.

Home Help Services are defined as those tasks which the department is paying for through Title XIX (Medicaid) funds. These services are furnished to individuals who are **not** currently residing in a hospital, nursing facility, licensed foster care home/home for the aged, Intermediate Care Facility (ICF) for persons with developmental disabilities or institution for mental illness.

Docket No. 2013-36920 HHS
Decision and Order

These activities must be certified by a Medicaid enrolled medical professional and may be provided by individuals or by private or public agencies. **The medical professional does not prescribe or authorize personal care services.** Needed services are determined by the comprehensive assessment conducted by the adult services specialist.

Personal care services which are eligible for Title XIX funding are limited to:

Activities of Daily Living (ADL)

- Eating.
- Toileting.
- Bathing.
- Grooming.
- Dressing.
- Transferring.
- Mobility.

Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL)

- Taking medication.
- Meal preparation/cleanup.
- Shopping for food and other necessities of daily living.
- Laundry.
- Housework.

An individual must be assessed with at least One Activity of Daily Living (ADL) in order to be eligible to receive Home Help Services.

Note: If the assessment determines a need for an ADL at a level 3 or greater but these services are not paid for by the department, the individual would be eligible to receive IADL services.

Example: Ms. Smith is assessed at a level 4 for bathing however she refuses to receive assistance. Ms. Smith would be eligible to receive assistance with IADL's if the assessment determines a need at a level 3 or greater.

(ASM 101, pages 1-2 of 4)

Services not Covered by Home Help Services

Home help services must **not** be approved for the following:

- Supervising, monitoring, reminding, guiding of encouraging (functional assessment rank 2);

(ASM 101, page 3 of 4)

Functional Assessment

The **Functional Assessment** module of the **ASCAP** comprehensive assessment is the basis for service planning and for the HHS payment.

Conduct a functional assessment to determine the client's ability to perform the following activities:

Activities of Daily Living (ADL)

- Eating
- Toileting
- Bathing
- Grooming
- Dressing
- Transferring
- Mobility

Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL)

- Taking Medication
- Meal Preparation and Cleanup
- Shopping
- Laundry
- Light Housework

Functional Scale

ADL's and IADL's are assessed according to the following five-point scale:

1. **Independent:** Performs the activity safely with no human assistance.
2. **Verbal Assistance:** Performs the activity with verbal assistance such as reminding, guiding or encouraging.
3. **Some Human Assistance:** Performs the activity with some direct physical assistance and/or assistive technology.

██████████
Docket No. 2013-36920 HHS
Decision and Order

4. **Much Human Assistance:** Performs the activity with a great deal of human assistance and/or assistive technology.
5. **Dependent:** Does not perform the activity even with human assistance and/or assistive technology.

Home Help Payments may only be authorized for needs assessed at the 3 level or greater.

An individual must be assessed with at least One Activity of Daily Living in order to be eligible to receive home help services.

Note: If the assessment determines a need for an ADL at a level 3 or greater but these services are not paid for by the department, the individual would be eligible to receive IADL services.

Example: Ms. Smith is assessed at a level 4 for bathing however she refuses to receive assistance. Ms. Smith would be eligible to receive assistance with IADL's if the assessment determines a need at a level 3 or greater.

See ASM 121, Functional Assessment Definitions and Ranks for a description of the rankings for activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living.

(ASM 120, pages 2-3 of 6)

Here, ASW ██████████ testified that she sent out an Adequate Negative Action Notice to the Appellant indicating that her request for HHS would be denied as the comprehensive in-home evaluation conducted did not identify a need for hands on assistance with an Activity of Daily Living. (See Exhibit A, pp. 5-7). The ASW stated she conducted an in-home assessment with the Appellant on ██████████. The Appellant, her provider, and another ASW that she was training were present for the assessment.

The ASW went over each of the ADLs and IADLs with the Appellant to determine which of these she needed help with. The ASW stated the Appellant stated she could do bathing, grooming, dressing, toileting, transferring, eating, without a need for assistance. Appellant reported that due to limited endurance she needed help with housework, laundry, shopping, and meal preparation.

The ASW acknowledged the rankings she gave Appellant on her ADLs and IADLs. (See Exhibit A, p. 11). The ASW also acknowledged the Medical Needs form, the DHS-54A completed by the Appellant's doctor, which indicates that Appellant had a medical need for assistance with mobility, meal preparation, shopping, laundry, and

██████████
Docket No. 2013-36920 HHS
Decision and Order

housework. (See Exhibit A, p. 12). The ASW stated she observed Appellant during the home visit and did not appear to need any assistance with mobility, and the Appellant did not advise that she needed an assistive device to get around.

The ASW referenced the policy on eligibility for HHS, (ASM 120, page 3 of 5), and stated HHS may only be authorized for needs assessed at the 3 level or greater and that an individual must be assessed with at least one activity of daily living in order to be eligible to receive HHS. ASW ██████████ concluded that this policy supported the negative action she took in this case.

During the hearing, Appellant indicated she did have some difficulty with mobility since she has problems with both hips and her knee and she uses a single prong cane to get around. Appellant also stated she could not breathe well and needed help with her housework. Appellant stated she did not understand that a cane was an assistive device and she acknowledged that she did not show it to the ASW during the assessment. The ASW stated that use of a single pronged cane does not call for a score of three or higher for mobility, therefore, Appellant would not score a three or higher on any of her ADLs and is not eligible to receive HHS.


The preponderance of reliable evidence in this case demonstrates that the Appellant does not need assistance with any of her ADLs. According to the policy quoted above, an individual is only eligible to receive HHS if he or she has a need for assistance with an ADL at a level 3 or greater. See ASM 101, page 2 of 4; ASM 120, page 3 of 6. Appellant has no such need and is therefore ineligible to receive any type of HHS. Accordingly, the Department's decision must be sustained.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department properly terminated Appellant's HHS.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

The Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.



William D. Bond
Administrative Law Judge
for James K. Haveman, Director
Michigan Department of Community Health

Date Signed: ██████████

Date Mailed: ██████████


Docket No. 2013-36920 HHS
Decision and Order

WDB/db

cc:



***** NOTICE *****

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.