

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH**  
P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909  
(877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 373-4147

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

██████████

Appellant

---

**Docket No.** 2013-36670 HHS

██████████

██████████

**DECISION AND ORDER**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 *et seq.*, upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a hearing was held on ██████████ ██████████ the Appellant, appeared on his own behalf. ██████████ caregiver, appeared as a witness for the Appellant. ██████████, Appeals Review Officer, represented the Department. ██████████, Adult Services Worker ("ASW"), and ██████████ Adult Services Supervisor, appeared as witnesses for the Department.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly reduce the Appellant's Home Help Services ("HHS") authorization?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Appellant is a Medicaid beneficiary who has been authorized for HHS.
2. Between ██████████ and ██████████ the Department received medical verification that the Appellant was diagnosed with right shoulder repair of tendon ██████████ and ██████████ cervical radiculopathy disc, lumbar stenosis, cataracts, arthritis, scoliosis, and low blood sugar. History of motor vehicle accident related spine and neck injuries from ██████████, a history of seizures, and headaches had also been reported by the Appellant. (Exhibit 1, page 6)
3. The Appellant had been receiving HHS with a monthly care cost of ██████████. (Exhibit 1, pages 12-13)

4. On ██████████, the ASW went to the Appellant's home and completed an in-home assessment for a review of the Appellant's HHS case. The Appellant reported he still needs assistance with the same Activities of Daily Living ("ADLs") and Instrumental Activities of Daily Living ("IADLs"). During this home visit, the ASW observed the Appellant put on a shirt without assistance, walking, and moving between sitting and standing positions. (Exhibit 1, page 9; ASW Testimony)
5. Based on her observations of the Appellant, the ASW concluded that the Appellant's HHS hours for dressing should be eliminated. The reduced HHS authorization has a monthly care cost of ██████████. (Exhibit 1, pages 9 and 11-12; ASW Testimony)
6. On ██████████, the Department sent the Appellant an Advance Action Notice, which informed him that effective ██████████ the HHS case, would be reduced to ██████████. (Exhibit 1, page 5)
7. On ██████████, the Appellant's request for hearing was received by the Michigan Administrative Hearing System. (Exhibit 1, page 4)
8. On ██████████ the Appellant's doctor completed a DHS-54A Medical Needs form indicating the Appellant did not need assistance with eating, toileting, bathing, grooming, dressing, transferring, mobility, or taking medications and only needed assistance with meal preparation, shopping, housework, and laundry. (Exhibit 2)

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Home Help Services (HHS) are provided to enable functionally limited individuals to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings. These activities must be certified by a physician and may be provided by individuals or by private or public agencies.

Adult Services Manual (ASM) 101, 11-1-11, addresses HHS payments:

#### **Payment Services Home Help**

Home help services are non-specialized personal care service activities provided under the independent living services program to persons who meet eligibility requirements.

Home help services are provided to enable individuals with functional limitation(s), resulting from a medical or physical disability or cognitive impairment to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings.

*Adult Services Manual (ASM) 101,  
11-1-2011, Page 1 of 4.*

Adult Services Manual (ASM) 105, 11-1-11, addresses HHS eligibility requirements:

### **Requirements**

Home help eligibility requirements include all of the following:

- Medicaid eligibility.
- Certification of medical need.
- Need for service, based on a complete comprehensive assessment (DHS-324) indicating a functional limitation of level 3 or greater for activities of daily living (ADL).
- Appropriate Level of Care (LOC) status.

*Adult Services Manual (ASM) 105,  
11-1-2011, Page 1 of 3*

Adult Services Manual (ASM 120, 5-1-2012), addresses the adult services comprehensive assessment:

### **INTRODUCTION**

The DHS-324, Adult Services Comprehensive Assessment is the primary tool for determining need for services. The comprehensive assessment must be completed on **all open independent living services cases**. ASCAP, the automated workload management system, provides the format for the comprehensive assessment and all information will be entered on the computer program.

### **Requirements**

Requirements for the comprehensive assessment include, but are not limited to:

- A comprehensive assessment will be completed on all new cases.

- A face-to-face contact is required with the client in his/her place of residence.
- The assessment may also include an interview with the individual who will be providing home help services.
- A new face-to-face assessment is required if there is a request for an increase in services before payment is authorized.
- A face-to-face assessment is required on all transfer-in cases before a payment is authorized.
- The assessment must be updated as often as necessary, but minimally at the six month review and annual redetermination.
- A release of information must be obtained when requesting documentation from confidential sources and/or sharing information from the department record.
  - Use the DHS-27, Authorization to Release Information, when requesting client information from another agency.
  - Use the DHS-1555, Authorization to Release Protected Health Information, if requesting additional medical documentation; see RFF 1555. The form is primarily used for APS cases.
- Follow rules of confidentiality when home help cases have companion APS cases, see SRM 131 Confidentiality.

\*\*\*

### **Functional Assessment**

The **Functional Assessment** module of the **ASCAP** comprehensive assessment is the basis for service planning and for the home help services payment.

Conduct a functional assessment to determine the client's ability to perform the following activities:

#### Activities of Daily Living (ADL)

- Eating.
- Toileting.
- Bathing.
- Grooming.
- Dressing.
- Transferring.
- Mobility.

### Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL)

- Taking Medication.
- Meal Preparation and cleanup.
- Shopping.
- Laundry.
- Light Housework.

### Functional Scale

ADLs and IADLs are assessed according to the following five-point scale:

1. Independent.  
Performs the activity safely with no human assistance.
2. Verbal Assistance.  
Performs the activity with verbal assistance such as reminding, guiding or encouraging.
3. Some Human Assistance.  
Performs the activity with some direct physical assistance and/or assistive technology.
4. Much Human Assistance.  
Performs the activity with a great deal of human assistance and/or assistive technology.
5. Dependent.  
Does not perform the activity even with human assistance and/or assistive technology.

Home help payments may only be authorized for needs assessed at the 3 level ranking or greater.

An individual must be assessed with at least one activity of daily living in order to be eligible to receive home help services.

**Note:** If the assessment determines a need for an ADL at a level 3 or greater but these services are not paid for by the department, the individual would be eligible to receive IADL services.

**Example:** Ms. Smith is assessed at a level 4 for bathing however she refuses to receive assistance. Ms. Smith would be eligible to receive assistance with IADLs if the assessment determined a need at a level 3 or greater.

See ASM 121, Functional Assessment Definitions and Ranks for a description of the rankings for activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living.

\*\*\*

### Time and Task

The specialist will allocate time for each task assessed a rank of 3 or higher, based on interviews with the client and provider, observation of the client's abilities and use of the reasonable time schedule (RTS) as a **guide**. The RTS can be found in ASCAP under the Payment module, Time and Task screen. When hours exceed the RTS rationale **must** be provided.

An assessment of need, at a ranking of 3 or higher, does not automatically guarantee the maximum allotted time allowed by the reasonable time schedule (RTS). **The specialist must assess each task according to the actual time required for its completion.**

**Example:** A client needs assistance with cutting up food. The specialist would only pay for the time required to cut the food and not the full amount of time allotted under the RTS for eating.

### IADL Maximum Allowable Hours

There are monthly maximum hour limits on all instrumental activities of daily living except medication. The limits are as follows:

- Five hours/month for shopping
- Six hours/month for light housework
- Seven hours/month for laundry
- 25 hours/month for meal preparation

### Proration of IADLs

If the client does not require the maximum allowable hours for IADLs, authorize only the amount of time needed for each task. Assessed hours for IADLs (except medications) must be prorated by **one half** in shared living arrangements where other adults reside in the home, as home help services are **only** for the benefit of the client.

**Note:** This does not include situations where others live in adjoining apartments/flats or in a separate home on shared property and there is no shared, common living area.

In shared living arrangements, where it can be **clearly** documented that IADLs for the eligible client are completed separately from others in the home, hours for IADLs do not need to be prorated.

**Example:** Client has special dietary needs and meals are prepared separately; client is incontinent of bowel and/or bladder and laundry is completed separately; client's shopping is completed separately due to special dietary needs and food is purchased from specialty stores; etc.

*Adult Services Manual (ASM) 120, 5-1-2012,  
Pages 1-5 of 5*

Certain services are not covered by HHS. ASM 101 provides a listing of the services not covered by HHS.

### **Services not Covered by Home Help**

Home help services must **not** be approved for the following:

- Supervising, monitoring, reminding, guiding, teaching or encouraging (functional assessment rank 2).
- Services provided for the benefit of others.
- Services for which a responsible relative is **able** and **available** to provide (such as house cleaning, laundry or shopping).
- Services provided by another resource at the same time (for example, hospitalization, MI-Choice Waiver).
- Transportation - See Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 825 for medical transportation policy and procedures.
- Money management such as power of attorney or representative payee.
  
- Home delivered meals.
- Adult or child day care.
- Recreational activities. (For example, accompanying and/or transporting to the movies, sporting events etc.)

**Note:** The above list is not all inclusive.

*Adult Services Manual (ASM) 101, 11-1-2011,  
Pages 3-4 of 4.*

The Appellant had been receiving HHS for assistance with a monthly care cost of ██████████. This authorization included HHS hours for dressing, housework, laundry, shopping, meal preparation, bathing, and grooming. (Exhibit 1, pages 12-13)

On ██████████ the ASW went to the Appellant's home and completed an in-home assessment for a review of the Appellant's HHS case. The Appellant reported he still needs assistance with the same ADLs and IADLs. During this home visit, the ASW observed the Appellant put on a shirt without assistance, walking, and moving between sitting and standing positions. (Exhibit 1, page 9; ASW Testimony) The functional ranking justification notes over the course of the Appellant's HHS case indicate that when the Appellant initially reported the need for dressing assistance, he reported that he has difficulty getting his arms into a shirt because of limited shoulder movement. (Exhibit 1, page 7) The ASW testified that during the ██████████ assessment, the Appellant reported needing help with getting shirts overhead due to shoulder injury. However, the ASW testified that in ██████████ the Appellant reported needs for dressing assistance with both pants and shirts. (ASW Testimony) Based on her observations of the Appellant during the ██████████ home visit, the ASW concluded that the Appellant's HHS hours for dressing should be eliminated. The reduced HHS authorization has a monthly care cost of ██████████ (Exhibit 1, pages 9 and 11-12; ASW Testimony)

The Appellant disagrees with the reduction to his HHS case. The Appellant contested the ASW's testimony and notes about several aspects of the ██████████ home visit. However, the Appellant acknowledged that he did put on a shirt without assistance during that home visit. (Appellant Testimony) The Appellant described his spinal injuries and history of surgery and pain shots. Additionally, the Appellant submitted a copy of a ██████████ MRI report. (Appellant Testimony and Exhibit 3) The Appellant stated his caregiver massages his shoulder and back. The Appellant testified he needs assistance at times with his socks, shoes and pants. (Appellant Testimony) The Appellant also stated that what the doctor wrote on the ██████████ DHS-54A Medical Needs Form was not true. (Appellant Testimony and Exhibit 3)

The Appellant's caregiver testified the Appellant has good days and bad days. The Appellant's caregiver noted that the ASW only sees the Appellants ██████████ a year for about ██████████ minutes. The Appellant's caregiver does more than is included in the HHS authorization and at times the Appellant cannot even get out of bed. (Caregiver Testimony)

The Department provided sufficient evidence to support the reduction to the Appellant's HHS authorization. The testimony of the Appellant cannot be found fully credible. The Appellant's diagnoses and history of spine and shoulder impairments were not contested. It was also uncontested that the Appellant was able to put on his shirt without assistance during the ██████████ home visit. However, the Appellant's

testimony about lower body dressing needs only occurred after this ALJ asked about the statement in his hearing request. The ASW provided credible testimony of her past assessments of the Appellant's HHS case regarding dressing as well as the [REDACTED] home visit. While this ALJ notes that it would not have been available to the ASW at the time of the [REDACTED] assessment, the Department has since obtained updated medical verification from the Appellant's doctor. The [REDACTED] DHS-54A Medical Needs form completed by the Appellant's doctor supports the ASW's determination that the Appellant does not have a medical need for hands on assistance with dressing. (Exhibit 2) Accordingly, the Department's determination to reduce the Appellant's HHS authorization is upheld based on the available information.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department properly reduced the Appellant's HHS authorization based on the available information.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:**

The Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Colleen Lack  
Administrative Law Judge  
for James K. Haveman, Director  
Michigan Department of Community Health

Date Signed: [REDACTED]

Date Mailed: [REDACTED]

CL/db

cc: [REDACTED]

**\*\*\* NOTICE \*\*\***

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.