


STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

**IN THE MATTER OF:**



Reg. No: 201335532  
Issue No: 2001, 4031  
Case No: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: August 22, 2013  
Kalamazoo County DHS

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Suzanne D. Sonneborn

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing received by the Department of Human Services (department) on March 8, 2013. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 22, 2013. Claimant appeared and provided testimony. The department was represented by [REDACTED] [REDACTED] an eligibility specialist with the department's Kalamazoo County branch office.

**ISSUE**

Whether the department properly determined Claimant's eligibility for State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefits?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On February 26, 2013, Claimant submitted an application for SDA benefits. In his application, Claimant indicated that he was not disabled or blind. (Department Exhibit 2)
2. On February 27, 2013, the department mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action (DHS 1605) advising Claimant that his application for SDA benefits had been denied effective February 1, 2013 for the reason that he is not aged, blind, disabled, under 21, pregnant, or a parent/caretaker relative of a dependent child. The department further advised Claimant that the department is unable to determine Claimant's eligibility for the Adult Medical Program (AMP) because the program is closed to new enrollments at this time.
3. On March 6, 2013, Claimant submitted a hearing request protesting the department's denial of his application for SDA benefits. (Department Exhibit 1)

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 600 (2011), p. 1. The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in sections 400.901 to 400.951 of the Michigan Administrative Code (Mich Admin Code). An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. Mich Admin Code R 400.903(1).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program was established by 2004 PA 344 and is a financial assistance program for individuals who are not eligible for the Family Independence Program (FIP) and are either disabled or the caretaker of a disabled person. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) was established by Title XXI of the Social Security Act; (1115)(a)(1) of the Social Security Act, and is administered by the Department of Human Services (DHS or department) pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.* Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). Applications received during a freeze on AMP enrollments must be registered and denied. BEM 640.

In this case, the department processed Claimant's February 26, 2013 assistance application and based on the information provided therein by Claimant, the department determined that Claimant was not eligible for SDA benefits because he did not indicate that he had a disabling medical condition. The department further determined that Claimant was not eligible for the Adult Medical Program (the only program for which Claimant would have qualified since he is not aged, blind, or disabled) because the AMP is not currently open to new enrollees.

At the August 22, 2013 hearing, Claimant acknowledged that he did not complete the relevant section of the assistance application (Section K) indicating that he suffered from a disabling medical condition.

Testimony and other evidence must be weighed and considered according to its reasonableness. *Gardiner v Courtright*, 165 Mich 54, 62; 130 NW 322 (1911); *Dep't of Community Health v Risch*, 274 Mich App 365, 372; 733 NW2d 403 (2007). Moreover, the weight and credibility of this evidence is generally for the fact-finder to determine. *Dep't of Community Health*, 274 Mich App at 372; *People v Terry*, 224 Mich App 447, 452; 569 NW2d 641 (1997).

This Administrative Law Judge has carefully considered and weighed the testimony and other evidence in the record and finds that, based on the competent, material, and

substantial evidence presented during the August 22, 2013 hearing, the department acted in accordance with policy in denying Claimant's February 26, 2013 application for SDA and AMP benefits.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department acted in accordance with policy in denying Claimant's February 26, 2013 application for SDA and AMP benefits. Accordingly, the department's actions in this regard are **UPHELD**.

It is **SO ORDERED**.

/s/

---

Suzanne D. Sonneborn  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 22, 2013

Date Mailed: August 23, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal this Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - Typographical errors, mathematical errors, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of Claimant;
  - The failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

A request for a rehearing or reconsideration must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative Hearings System  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, MI 48909-07322

SDS/hj

cc:

