


STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-34427
Issue No.: 2013
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: April 16, 2013
County: Wayne-76

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 16, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Claimant personally appeared and provided testimony. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Assistant Payment Supervisor [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly close Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant received benefits for Food Assistance Program (FAP).
2. On February 4, 2013, the Department closed Claimant's FAP benefits due to excess income.
2. On February 4, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a notice of the closure, effective March 1, 2013.
3. On March 8, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACSR 400.3001-3015.

During the hearing, the department was unable to explain why the FAP budget listed earned income of \$ [REDACTED] when according to the worker and the Employment Budget print out, the earned income should have been \$ [REDACTED].

While reviewing the Notice of Case Action, Claimant testified that her rent had increased to \$ [REDACTED] a month, not the \$ [REDACTED] indicated in the Notice of Case Action. Claimant stated she notified the department of that increase in rent in February, 2013. However, the department failed to provide a copy of the Excess Shelter Budget to show how the shelter budget was determined.

Therefore, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that due to the discrepancies in earned income between the FAP budget and the Employment Budget print out, as well as the discrepancy in the amount of rent Claimant is paying and the lack of an Excess Shelter Budget to determine how the department calculated the shelter budget, the department has failed to show it properly closed Claimant's FAP benefits.


DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's FAP decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

The Department is ORDERED to redetermine Claimant's eligibility for FAP back to 2/4/13, the Notice of Case Action.



Vicki L. Armstrong
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 17, 2013

Date Mailed: April 17, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at
Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

VLA/las

cc:

