

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 201331353  
Issue No.: 2021  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: March 27, 2013  
County: Wayne DHS (19)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Christian Gardocki

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 27, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants included the above-named claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (DHS) included [REDACTED], Manager.

**ISSUE**

The issue is whether DHS properly denied Claimant's Application requesting Medical Assistance (MA) benefits due to excess assets.

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On 1/22/13, Claimant applied for MA benefits.
2. As of 1/22/13, Claimant was the only member of her household.
3. At the time of Claimant's application, Claimant was potentially eligible only for Adult Medical Program (AMP) or SSI-Related MA benefits.
4. At the time of Claimant's application, Claimant had \$6000.02 in a savings account and \$591.14 in a checking account.
5. On 2/7/13, DHS mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing Claimant that the MA benefit application was denied due to excess assets.

6. On 2/19/13, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the MA benefit application denial.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). DHS administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The present case concerned a denial of MA benefits due to excess assets. To determine the asset limit (if any), it must be determined what type of MA benefits for which Claimant was eligible.

The evidence established that Claimant was eligible for either AMP or SSI-related MA benefits. The SSI-related MA category asset limit is \$2,000 for a benefit group of one. BEM 400 (1/2013), p. 5. The AMP asset limit is \$3,000. *Id.*, p. 4.

For MA benefits, asset eligibility exists when the asset group's countable assets are less than, or equal to, the applicable asset limit at least one day during the month being tested. *Id.*, p. 5. It was not disputed that Claimant has two bank accounts- one with a balance of \$6,000.02 and one with a balance of \$591.14. DHS determined Claimant's asset eligibility by adding the balances. Claimant made no objections to how DHS determined Claimant's asset eligibility. Thus, at the time of Claimant's application, she had \$6591.16 in assets. This amount exceeds the asset limit for either AMP or SSI-related MA benefits. Accordingly, it is found that DHS properly denied Claimant's application due to excess assets.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that DHS properly denied Claimant's application requesting MA benefits due to excess assets. The actions taken by DHS are AFFIRMED.



Christian Gardocki  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 4/4/2013

Date Mailed: 4/4/2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at  
Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P. O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CG/hw

cc:

