

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2013-30883  
Issue No.: 3014  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: March 28, 2013  
County: Wayne (82-49)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Alice C. Elkin

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 28, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED].

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly process Claimant's November 20, 2012, application to add Claimant as a member of his Food Assistance Program (FAP) group?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was disqualified from receiving FAP benefits for one year, between December 1, 2011, and November 30, 2012, based on an intentional program violation of the FAP program.
2. Effective December 1, 2011, the Department removed Claimant as a qualified member of his FAP group, and the group continued to receive benefits based on a group size of two.
3. On November 20, 2012, Claimant applied online to have himself added back as a member of his FAP group.
4. The Department never processed Claimant's member add.

5. On February 19, 2013, Claimant filed a request for hearing disputing the Department's actions.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.

Claimant was disqualified as member of his FAP group for one year because he had admitted to an intentional program violation (IPV). The IPV sanction expired on November 30, 2012. Claimant established at the hearing that on November 20, 2012, he filed an online application requesting that he be added back to his FAP group.

A member add to a FAP case that increases benefits is effective the month after it is reported. BEM 212 (November 1, 2012), p 7; BEM 212 (November 1, 2012), p. 7. The Department had not taken any action on Claimant's member add request as of the date of the March 28, 2013, hearing. Because Claimant was eligible to be a FAP group member as of December 1, 2012, the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it failed to add him as a qualified member of his FAP group as of December 1, 2012.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department

- did act properly when .  
 did not act properly when it failed to add Claimant as a qualified member of his FAP group as of December 1, 2012.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is  AFFIRMED  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record and above.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Begin recalculating in accordance with Department policy Claimant's FAP budget from December 1, 2012, ongoing to include Claimant as a qualified member of his FAP group;
2. Issue supplements to Claimant for any FAP benefits he was eligible to receive but did not from December 1, 2012, ongoing; and

3. Notify Claimant in writing of its decision in accordance with Department policy.



**Alice C. Elkin**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 3, 2013

Date Mailed: April 3, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at  
Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P. O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/pf

cc:

