

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**



Reg. No.: 201330125  
Issue No.: 3002  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: March 14, 2013  
County: Muskegon

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Gary F. Heisler

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 14, 2013. Claimant appeared and testified.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department of Human Services determine the proper amount of Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits on January 24, 2013?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.
2. On January 24, 2013, the Department ran a Food Assistance Program (FAP) financial eligibility budget to update the increase in Claimant's Retirement, Survivors, and Disability Insurance (RSDI) and re-determine his eligibility. The budget showed that Claimant's current income and expenses made him eligible for \$ [REDACTED] per month of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits. Claimant was sent notice of the determination.
3. On February 11, 2013, Claimant submitted a request for hearing.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).


The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACRS R 400.3001-3015.

During this hearing the Department presented evidence showing Claimants income and expenses. Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) financial eligibility budget was reviewed thoroughly and found to be correct. A detailed analysis of the evidence presented, applicable Department policies, and reasoning for the decision are contained in the recorded record. During the hearing Claimant was informed of the decision and the reasoning behind the decision. During this hearing Claimant continually asserted the financial eligibility budget was wrong and that his eligibility determination had nothing to do with the government and everything to do with the Department of Human Services?

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department of Human Services determined the proper amount of Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits on January 24, 2013.

It is ORDERED that the actions of the Department of Human Services, in this matter, are **UPHELD**.



---

Gary F. Heisler  
Administrative Law Judge  
For Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 3/26/13

Date Mailed: 3/26/13

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error , or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at  
Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

GFH/tb

cc:

