

STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No: 201329598
Issue No: 3014, 3015
Case No: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: March 13, 2013
Calhoun County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne D. Sonneborn

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing received by the Department of Human Services (department) on February 12, 2013. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 13, 2013. Claimant appeared and provided testimony. The department was represented by [REDACTED], an eligibility specialist with the department's Calhoun County office.

ISSUE

Whether the department properly determined Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefit eligibility?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On January 2, 2013, Claimant applied for FAP benefits for herself as well as her 21-year-old daughter. In doing so, Claimant indicated that her daughter's daughter is also a household member. (Department Exhibit 1)
2. On January 23, 2013, the department mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action (DHS 1605), informing Claimant that her application for FAP benefits had been denied for the reason that Claimant's net income exceeds the limit for the program. (Department Exhibit 4)
3. On February 12, 2013, Claimant submitted a hearing request protesting the department's determination of her FAP benefit eligibility.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600. The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. MAC R 400.903(1).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) was established pursuant to the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The department administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.30001-3015. Department policies for the program are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

In general, persons who live together and purchase and prepare food together are members of the same FAP eligibility determination group. BEM 212, p 5. Moreover, parents and their children under 22 years of age who live together must be in the same group regardless of whether the child(ren) have their own spouse or child who lives with the group. Children include natural, step and adopted children. BEM 212, p 1.

For FAP purposes, all earned and unearned income available to Claimant is countable. Earned income means income received from another person or organization or from self-employment for duties that were performed for compensation or profit. Unearned income means all income that is not earned, including but not limited to funds received from the Family Independence Program (FIP), State Disability Assistance (SDA), Child Development and Care (CDC), Medicaid (MA), Social Security Benefits (RSDI/SSI), Veterans Administration (VA), Unemployment Compensation Benefits (UCB), Adult Medical Program (AMP), alimony, and child support payments. The amount counted may be more than the client actually receives because the gross amount is used prior to any deductions. BEM 500.

The department determines a client's eligibility for program benefits based on the client's actual income and/or prospective income. Actual income is income that was already received. Prospective income is income not yet received but expected. Prospective budgeting is the best estimate of the client's future income. BEM 505.

All income is converted to a standard monthly amount. If the client is paid weekly, the department multiplies the average weekly amount by 4.3. If the client is paid every other week, the department multiplies the average bi-weekly amount by 2.15. BEM 505.

In this case, at the March 13, 2013 hearing, the department's representative testified and provided documentary evidence establishing that the department determined Claimant's eligibility for FAP benefits based on the department's verification of Claimant's monthly receipt of unearned income (RSDI benefits) totaling \$ [REDACTED] as well as Claimant's daughter's monthly receipt of earned income in the amount of \$ [REDACTED]

Claimant's group's total monthly income of \$ [REDACTED] was reduced by an earned income deduction of \$ [REDACTED] and a standard deduction of \$ [REDACTED] which left an adjusted gross income of \$ [REDACTED]. An excess shelter deduction of \$ [REDACTED] was then subtracted from Claimant's adjusted gross income leaving a monthly net income of \$ [REDACTED]

Federal regulations at 7 CF 273.10 provide standards for income and the amount of household benefits. In accordance with the federal regulations, the department has prepared income and issuance tables which can be found at RFT 250 and RFT 260. RFT 260 provides that a household size of three with a monthly net income of [REDACTED] is not entitled to a monthly FAP allotment. RFT 260.

Accordingly, the Administrative Law Judge finds that, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence presented during the March 13, 2013 hearing, the department acted in accordance with policy in determining Claimant's eligibility for FAP benefits for the benefit period effective January 1, 2013.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the the department acted in accordance with policy in determining Claimant's eligibility for FAP benefits for the benefit period effective January 1, 2013. Accordingly, the department's actions are **UPHELD**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ _____
Suzanne D. Sonneborn
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 14, 2013

Date Mailed: March 15, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearings System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal this Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - Typographical errors, mathematical errors, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of Claimant;
 - The failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative Hearings System
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, MI 48909-07322

SDS/cr

cc:

