



4. On October 22, 2013, Claimant submitted a hearing request disputing the Department's actions.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

Additionally, the custodial parents of children must comply with all requests for action or information needed to establish paternity and/or obtain child support on behalf of children for whom she receives assistance, unless a claim of good cause for not cooperating has been granted or is pending. BEM 255 (October 2013), pp. 1. A client's cooperation with paternity and obtaining child support is a condition of FAP and MA eligibility. BEM 255, pp. 1, 9-11. Cooperation is required in all phases of the process to establish paternity and obtain support and includes providing all known information about the absent parent. BEM 255, p 8. Any individual required to cooperate who fails to cooperate without good cause may result in group ineligibility or member disqualification for FAP and MA. BEM 255, pp. 9-11.

In this case, the Department testified that on [REDACTED] the Office of Child Support (OCS) sent Claimant a First Customer Contact Letter informing her to contact OCS and provide information regarding the noncustodial parent. On [REDACTED], the Department sent Claimant a second Customer Contact Letter requesting the same information and informing her that failure to provide that information would result in being placed in noncooperation status. A Noncooperation Notice was issued to Claimant on [REDACTED], [REDACTED], informing her that she was considered to be noncooperative with child support and that her cases would be impacted. (Exhibit 2)

At the hearing, Claimant testified that she never received any of the letters from the OCS because she was homeless at the time and did not have stable housing. The OCS representative testified that interviews were conducted with Claimant during which

she provided some information regarding the father, however, this information was insufficient.

Claimant stated that at the time her child was conceived, she was living in a motel room with several people. Claimant stated that she believes that one of the men living there could possibly be the father of her child and provided his name, date of birth, and a physical description on the record. Claimant testified that she attempted to go back to the house where she first met one of the men and asked the people who live there about the possible father's whereabouts, but was unsuccessful. Claimant also provided nick names for three other men who could possibly be the father of her child but stated that because she was in a bad place at the time and often drunk, she could not be certain who the father was.

Under the facts presented, the OCS failed to establish that Claimant did not disclose all known information concerning the father and that she had additional information regarding the father's identity, thereby, making her ineligible for FAP and MA.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined that Claimant was ineligible for FAP and MA based on a noncooperation with child support requirements.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Remove the child support sanctions that were imposed on Claimant's FAP and MA cases;
2. Reinstate Claimant's MA case effective the date of closure;
3. Recalculate Claimant's FAP budget effective October 1, 2013, including her as an eligible group member;
4. Issue supplements to Claimant for any FAP benefits that she was entitled to receive but did not from October 1, 2013, ongoing;
5. Issue supplements to Claimant for any MA benefits that she was entitled to receive but did not from the date of closure, ongoing; and

6. Notify Claimant of its decision in writing in accordance with Department policy.



**Zainab Baydoun**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 9, 2013  
Date Mailed: December 10, 2013

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:  
Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:  
Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ZB/tm

cc: [Redacted]  
[Redacted]  
[Redacted]  
[Redacted]  
[Redacted]