

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2013-12567  
Issue No.: 2006, 2018  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: April 11, 2013  
County: Genesee-06 County DHS

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Corey A. Arendt

**AMENDED HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 11, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED] [REDACTED].

**ISSUE**

Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly deny Claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA) benefits?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

1. On July 13, 2011, [REDACTED] submitted an application for MA benefits on behalf of the Claimant.
2. On July 13, 2011, the Department processed the July 13, 2011 MA application.
3. On July 13, 2012, the Department issued a verification checklist due July 23, 2012.
4. On July 23, 2012, the Department extended the verification due date until August 2, 2012.
5. On August 3, 2012, the Department extended the verification due date until August 12, 2012.

6. On August 14, 2012, the Department extended the verification due date until August 22, 2012.
7. On August 22, 2012, [REDACTED] submitted to the Department, a handwritten note from the Claimant and a bank statement. Both documents were in regards to an inheritance the Department was seeking verification off.
8. On August 23, 2012, the Department issued a notice of case action. The notice indicated the Claimant's MA application was being denied for failure to provide verifications of an inheritance.
9. On November 8, 2012, [REDACTED] requested a hearing regarding the MA application denial.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

Clients have the right to contest a Department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. (BAM 600).

Department policy indicates that clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility with all programs. (BAM 105). This includes completion of the necessary forms. Clients who are able to but refuse to provide necessary information or take a required action are subject to penalties. (BAM 105).

The Department is to provide the Claimant with 10 calendar days to comply with the verification request and should send a negative action notice when the Claimant indicates a refusal to provide a verification, or the time period given has elapsed and the client **HAS NOT MADE A REASONABLE EFFORT** to provide it. (BAM 130).

Testimony and other evidence must be weighed and considered according to its reasonableness.<sup>1</sup> Moreover, the weight and credibility of this evidence is generally for the fact-finder to determine.<sup>2</sup> In evaluating the credibility and weight to be given the

---

<sup>1</sup> *Gardiner v Courtright*, 165 Mich 54, 62; 130 NW 322 (1911); *Dep't of Community Health v Risch*, 274 Mich App 365, 372; 733 NW2d 403 (2007).

<sup>2</sup> *Dep't of Community Health*, 274 Mich App at 372; *People v Terry*, 224 Mich App 447, 452; 569 NW2d 641 (1997).

testimony of a witness, the fact-finder may consider the demeanor of the witness, the reasonableness of the witness's testimony, and the interest, if any, the witness may have in the outcome of the matter.<sup>3</sup>

I have carefully considered and weighed the testimony and other evidence in the record and find the Claimant's representatives made a reasonable effort in submitting the requested documentation and therefore the MA applications should not have been denied. At the time the Department was requesting the verifications, the Claimant was deceased. This presented unusual obstacles for the representatives to overcome in obtaining the specific verifications being requested. Therefore, I find the Representatives actions amounted to a reasonable effort.

Accordingly, the Department's actions in this matter are reversed.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

I find, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Department did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate a redetermination as to the Claimant's eligibility for MA benefits beginning July 13, 2011 and issue retroactive benefits if otherwise eligible and qualified.



Corey A. Arendt  
Administrative Law Judge  
For Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 15, 2013

Date Mailed: April 15, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or

<sup>3</sup> *People v Wade*, 303 Mich 303 (1942), cert den, 318 US 783 (1943).

reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at  
Michigan Administrative hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P. O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/las

cc:

