


**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201310066
Issue No.: 1021
Case No.:
Hearing Date: May 7, 2013
County: Macomb 20

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 7, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included . Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist (ES) .

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's application close Claimant's case for:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Family Independence Program (FIP)? | <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Food Assistance Program (FAP)? | <input type="checkbox"/> State Disability Assistance (SDA)? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Assistance (MA)? | <input type="checkbox"/> Child Development and Care (CDC)? |

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for benefits received benefits for:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Family Independence Program (FIP). | <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Food Assistance Program (FAP). | <input type="checkbox"/> State Disability Assistance (SDA). |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Assistance (MA). | <input type="checkbox"/> Child Development and Care (CDC). |

2. On September 24, 2012, the Department

denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to there not being a dependent child or care taker relative in her group.

3. On September 24, 2013, the Department sent
 Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)
notice of the denial. closure.
4. On September 27, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the
 denial of the application. closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

On May 1, 2013, the Claimant submitted a signed hearing request withdrawal. On May 2, 2013, the Administrative Law Judge issued an Order Denying Hearing Request Withdrawal because the Claimant's request did not indicate that her issues were resolved or that she was satisfied with the Department's actions. During the hearing, the Claimant testified that the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] does have MA and that she is not disputing a MA issue. The Claimant is only disputing the Department's determinations regarding the FIP case.

The evidence in this case indicates that the Claimant has always had MA and FIP for the minor child of which she is the guardian. Those cases closed in May of 2012, and the Department's hearing summary indicates that the Claimant was notified of that closure on May 19, 2012. The Claimant did not submit a request for hearing until September 27, 2012 and that hearing request was in response to a notice denying her application for benefits for MA and FIP. The Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 600, p. 4, provides in relevant part as follows:

The client or authorized hearing representative has 90 calendar days from the date of the written notice of case action to request a hearing. The request must be received anywhere in DHS within the 90 days.

As such, the Administrative Law Judge determines that the Claimant's hearing request is not timely to contest the closure of the MA and FIP cases in May of 2012. The Administrative Law Judge does therefore not address the propriety of that closure.

The Claimant reapplied for MA and FIP. The Department worker present at the hearing could not answer when that application was submitted. The Claimant was sent a DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action on September 24, 2012, informing her that the minor child had MA but that FIP was denied because the Claimant's group had no minor child and no care taker relative. The Claimant requested a hearing on that issue on September 27, 2012. The Claimant testified that she has had the minor child since he was three months old and he is now 11 years old, and she does not understand why her cases closed and why she has now also been denied FIP benefits. The Department's worker present at the hearing could not explain why it is the Department determined that there was no caretaker relative or minor child in the group.

Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 210 (2011) pp. 4, 5 provides that a legal guardian can be a care taker and that a minor child is necessarily part of the group composition. The Administrative Law Judge is perplexed as to how it is that the Department concluded the Claimant should be denied FIP benefits because of the group composition, especially as the Department worker present at the hearing never did contest that the Claimant was a caretaker of a minor, dependent child. As such, the Department does not meet its burden of proving that the denial of the Claimant's application for FIP was in accordance with its policy.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

201310066/SEH

- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at
Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

cc:

