STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-9096

Issue No(s).: 3003

Case No.: Hearing Date:

December 11, 2013

County: Macomb-20

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Darryl T. Johnson

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 11, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant, participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included the Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly reduce Claimant's F ood Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant was an on-going recipient of FAP.
- 2. When she applied for FAP, Claimant reported she was receiving monthly assistance from her family.
- 3. In April 2013 Claimant began receiving Social Security Disability income.
- 4. The Department calculated Claimant's budget based upon her disability in come and the money she had been receiving from her family.
- 5. Because of the calculat ed increase in unear ned income, Claimant's benefits were decreased as of November 1, 2013.
- 6. Claimant filed a hearing request on October 24, 2013.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), D epartment of Human Service es Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271. It to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Claimant and her brot her both te stified that, before Cla imant began receiving disab ility income, she had been receiving monthly cash a ssistance of \$ from her mother. Other family members were providing food. Because s he began receiving monthly disability benefits of \$ form her mother stopped providing cash assistance in October 2013. Claimant testified that she told her case worker that the assistance from her mother had stopped, and that she and her son both went to the Department and delivered a letter from her mother confirming the cash assistance had ended. The Department's witness agreed that Claimant had verbally reported the change, but als o testified that the Department does not have a record of a confirmation letter from Claimant's mother.

The testimony is con vincing that Claimant's monthly income is limited to her \$ in disability benefits. It no longer includes monthly cash assistance from her mother.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it calculated Claimant's FAP benefit is based upon the disability and family contributions. It is hould not have included any family contribution.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DE PARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DE PARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Redetermine Claimant's FAP benefit eligibility, effective November 1, 2013;

2. Issue a supplement to Claimant for any benefits improperly not issued.

Darryl T. Johnson
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>December 12, 2013</u>

Date Mailed: December 12, 2013

NOTICE OF APP EAL: The claimant may appea I the Dec ision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsiderati on was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly disc overed evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reas ons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

DTJ/las

