STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| | | Ε | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |



Reg. No.: 2014-13980

Issue No(s).: 3008

Case No.: Hearing Date:

December 19, 2013

County: Ingham

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Darryl T. Johnson

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 19, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant, Case Manager with Case

ISSUE

Did the Department properly c alculate Cl aimant's F ood Ass istance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant is an on-going FAP recipient.
- 2. On August 1, 2012, Claimant was approved for emergency relief when she applied for assistance to live at a home on the same in the same approved for emergency relief when she applied for assistance to live at a home on the same approved for emergency relief when she applied for assistance to live at a home on the same approved for emergency relief when she applied for emergency relief when she applied for assistance to live at a home on the same approved for emergency relief when she applied for emergency relief when she applied for assistance to live at a home on the same approved for emergency relief when she applied for emergency relief when the same approved for emergency relief when she applied for
- 3. Claimant was receiving hous ing assistance through
- 4. determined the home on was not suitable housing, and Claiman t was instead approved to live in an apartm ent on the same landlord.
- 5. After Claimant moved into the home on the home of the home on the home of the home on the home of t

- 6. Although Claimant informed the Department of her change in address from the originally approved housing, she did not inform the Department that she was paying more in rent which had the potential of increasing her FAP.
- 7. On November 26, 2013, Claimant requested a hearing on the issue of FAP.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), D epartment of Human Service es Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271. It to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Per BAM 105, "Clien ts must report changes in circu mstance that potentially affect eligibility or benefit amount. Changes must be reported within 10 days of receiving the first payment reflecting the change."

Per BAM 600, "The client or authorized hearing representative has 90 calendar days from the date of the written notice of case action to request a hearing. The request must be received anywhere in DHS within the 90 days." Also, "*Exception*: For FAP only, the client or authorized hearing representative may request a hearing disputing the current level of benefits at any time within the benefit period."

The Depar tment testified that the Claimant's FAP increas ed effective November 1, 2013, after Claimant submitted her hearing request and the Department determined she had established an excess shelter deduction.

The Department has responded properly to Cla imant's hearing request by incorporating the excess shelter deduction into the budget for the month in which she contested the action. There is no authority for retroactively increasing Claimant's FAP back to August 2012 when she initially incurred the increased expense.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Darryl T. Johnson
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 23, 2013

Date Mailed: December 23, 2013

NOTICE OF APP EAL: The claimant may appea I the Dec ision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsiderati on was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly disc overed evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reas ons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

DTJ/las

