STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: 201412698 1011, 2011, 3011

December 17, 2013 Shiawassee County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's r equest for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CF R 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing wa s held on December 17, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included **Constantion** Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Departm ent) included **Constantion** and **Constantion** and **Constantion** of the Office of Child Support.

ISSUE

Whether the Department of H uman Servic es (Department) properly sanctioned the Claimant's Food Ass istance Pr ogram (FAP), Medical Assistanc e (M.A.), and Family Independence Program (FIP) be nefits for failure to cooperate with the Offi ce of Child Support?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claim ant was an ongoing Food Assistance Program (FAP), Medical Assistance (M.A.), and Family Independence Program (FIP) recipient.
- On October 18, 2013, the Department notified the Claimant that it would reduce her Food Assistance Program (F AP) benefits and close her F amily Independence Program (FIP) benefits.
- 3. The Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing on October 28, 2013, protesting the noncooperation sanction.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42

USC 601 to 679c. The Depar tment (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MC L 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

The Medic al Assistance (MA) program is est ablished by the Title XIX of the Socia I Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Families are strengthened when children's needs are met. Parents have a responsibility to meet their childr en's needs by prov iding support and/or cooperating with the department, including the Office of Child Support (OCS), the Friend of the Court (FOC) and the prosecuting attorney to establish paternity and/or obtain support from an absent parent. The custodial parent or alternative caretaker of children must com-ply with a ll requests for action or information needed to establish paternity and/or obtain child support on behalf of children for whom they receive assistance, unless a claim of good cause for not cooperating has been granted or is pending. Failure to cooperate without good cause results in disqualification. Disqualification includes member removal, as well as denial or closure of program benefits, depending on t he type of assistance. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 255 (October 1, 2013), pp 1-2.

In this case, the Claimant was an ongoing Family Independence Program (FIP), Medical Assistance (M.A.), and Food As sistance Program (FAP) recipient when the Department notified her on October 18, 2013, that she was considered noncooperative with the Office of C hild Support and that her benefits would be sanctione d. As of the result of the sanction, the Claimant was removed from her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefit group and her FAP benefits were reduced. The Department's records indic ate that the Claimant and her children are approved for Medical Assistance (M.A.) benefits. The Department closed the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits for failure to cooperate with the Office of Child Support.

A representative of the Office of Child Sup port testified that t he Claimant was mailed notices on July 28, 2012, Sept ember 22, 2013, and October 17, 2013, requesting information necessary to identify the absent parent of one of her children.

The Claimant did not dispute that she received the notices. The Claimant testified that she was unable to provide any information about the absent parent and therefore had nothing to report to the Office of Child Support.

The Claimant did not allege any circumstances that could be considered good cause for her failure to cooperate with the Office of Child Support.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant failed to establish that she made a reasonable attempt to cooperate with t he Office of Child Support's requests for information about the absent parent.

Therefore, the Department has established t hat it was acting in accordanc e with its policies when it sanctioned the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) and Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits. The Claim ant failed to establish a negativ e action with respect to Medical Assistance (M.A.) benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it sanctioned t he Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) and Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits..

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

/s/_____

Kevin

Scully Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 17, 2013

Date Mailed: December 19, 2013

NOTICE OF APP EAL: The claimant may appea I the Dec ision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsiderati on was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly disc overed evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;

- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reas ons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

