STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No: 201411224 Issue No: 2026, 3015 Case No:

Hearing Date: December 5, 201

Washtenaw County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne D. Sonneborn

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hear ing received by the Department of Human Services (department) on November 1, 2013. After due notice, a telephon e hearing was held on December 5, 2013. Claimant appeared and provided testimony. The department was represented by an assistance payments supervisor with the department's Washtenaw County office.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the department properly determined Claimant's eligibility for Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) benefits and Medical Assistance (MA) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia I evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was receiving FAP and MA benefits at all times pertinent to this hearing.
- On or about October 21, 2013, Claimant provided the department with verification of Claimant's husband's employment earnings for the past 30 days. (Department Exhibit 5)
- 3. On October 29, 2013, the department mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action (DHS 1605), informing Claimant t hat effective December 1, 2013, her FAP benefits would be decreas ed to \$ per month. The department further informed Claimant that, effective October 1, 2013, 2013, Claimant had been approved for M edicaid benefits, which benefits would be subject to a deductible in the amount of \$ Specifically, Claimant was advised that she would become eligible for MA benefit s

- when her allowable expens es exceeded her deductible amount. (Department Exhibits 1-7)
- 3. On November 1, 2013, Claimant submitted a hearing request protesting the department's determination of her FAP and MA eligibility. (Request for Hearing)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Clients have the right to c ontest a department decis ion affe cting eligibil ity or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to rev iew the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. Department of Human Serv ices Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 600 (2011), p. 1. The regulations gov erning the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in sections 400.901 to 400.951 of the Michigan Administrative Code (Mich Admin Code). An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. Mich Admin Code R 400.903(1).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) was established pursuant to the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CF R). The department administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.30001- 3015. Department policies for the program are c ontained in the Bridges Admini strative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Bridges Refer ence Manual (BRM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

For FAP purposes, all earned and unearned inco me available to Claimant is c ountable. Earned income means income received from another person or organization or from self-employment for duties that were performed for compensation or profit. Unearned income means all income that is not earned, including but not limited to funds received from the Family Inde pendence Program (FIP), State Disability Assistance (SDA), Child Development and Care (CDC), Medicaid (MA), Social Security Benefits (RSDI/SSI), Veterans Administration (VA), Unemployment Compensation Benefits (UCB), Adult Medical Program (AMP), alimony, and child support payments. The amount counted may be more than the client actually receives because the gross amount is used prior to any deductions. BEM 500.

The depar tment determines a client's elig ibility for program benefits based on the client's act ual inc ome and/or prospective in come. Actual income is income that w as already received. Prospective income is income not yet received but exp ected. Prospective budgeting is the best estimate of the client's future income. BEM 505. All income is converted to a standard monthly amount. If the client is paid weekly, the department multiplies the average eweekly amount by 4.3. If the client is paid ev ery other week, the department multiplies the average bi-week ly amount by 2.15. BEM 505.

The Medic al Assistance (MA) program was established by Tit le XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The goal of the Medicaid program is to ensure that essentia I health care s ervices are made available to those who otherwise could not afford them. Medicaid is also known as Medical Assistance (MA).

The Medic aid program is comprised of se veral sub-programs or categories. One category is FIP recipients. A nother category is SSI recipients. There are several othe r categories for persons not receiving FIP or SSI. However, the eligibility factors for these categories are based on (relat ed to) the eligibility factors in either FIP or SSI program. Therefore, these categories are referred to as either FIP-related or SSI-related.

To receive Medicaid under an SSI-related ca tegory, the person must be aged (65 or older), blind, disabled, entitled to Medicare or formerly blind or disabled. Fa milies with dependent children, caretaker relatives of dependent children, persons under age 21 and pregnant, or recently pregnant women, receive Medicaid under FIP-related categories.

Clients may qualify under more than one Medicaid c ategory. Federal law gives them the right to the most beneficia I category. The most beneficia I category is the one that results in eligibility or the least amount of excess income. BEM 105.

The State of Michigan has se t guide lines for income, which determine if a Medicaid group is eligible. Income eligibility exists for the calendar month tested when there is no excess income, or allowable medical expenses equal or exceed the excess income (under the Deductible Guidelines). BEM 545.

Net income (countable income minus allowable income deductions) must be at or below a certain income limit for eligibility to exist. BEM 105. Income eligibility exists when net income does not exc eed the Group 2 needs in BEM 544. BEM 166. The protected income level is a set allowance f or non-medical need items such as shelter, food and incidental expenses. RFT 240 lists the Group 2 Medicaid protected income levels based on shelter area and fiscal group size. BEM 5 44. An e ligible Medicaid group (Group 2 MA) has income the same as or less than the "protected income level" as set forth in the policy contained in the Reference Table (RFT). An individual or Medicaid group whose income is in excess of the monthly protected income level is ineligible to receive Medicaid.

However, a Medicaid group may become eligible for assistance under the deductible program. The deductible program is a process which allows a client with excessincome to be eligible for Medicaid if sufficient allowable medical expenses are incurred. Each calendar month is a separate deductible leperiod. The fiscal group's monthly excessincome is called the deductible amount. Meeting a deductible means reporting and verifying allowable medical expenses that equal or exceed the deductible amount for the calendar month. The Medicaid group must report expenses by the last day of the third month following the month it want smedical coverage. BEM 545; 42 CF R 435.831.

The client must receive a writt en notice of all cas e actions affecting eligibility or amount of benefits. When a case action is completed it must specify:

- The action being taken by the department.
- The reason(s) for the action.
- The specific manual item(s) that cites the legal base for an action, or the regulation, or law itself; see BAM 220.

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may grant a hearing on any of the following:

- Denial of an application and/or supplemental payments.
- Reduction in the amount of program benefits or service.
- Suspension or termination of program benefits or service.
- Restrictions under which benefits or services are provided.
- Delay of any action beyond standards of promptness.
- For FAP only, the current level of benefits or denial of expedited service. BAM 600.

For each hearing not resolved at a prehearing conference, the department is required to complete a Hearing Summary (DHS-3050). BAM 600. In the hearing summary, all case identifiers and notations on ca se status must be complete; see RFF 3050. The DHS-3050 narrative must include all of the following:

- Clear statement of the case action, including all programs involved in the case action.
- Facts which led to the action.

- Policy which supported the action.
- Correct address of the AHR or, if none, the client.
- Description of the documents the local office intends to offer as exhibits at the hearing. BAM 600.

During the hearing, the partici pants may give opening statem ents. BAM 600. Following the opening statement(s), if any, the ALJ directs the DHS case presenter to explain the position of the local of fice. BAM 600. The hearing sum mary, or highlights of it, may be read into the record at this time. BAM 600. The hearing summary may be used as a guide in presenting the evidence, witnesses and exhibits that support the Department's position. BAM 600. Department workers who attend the hearings are instructed to always include the following in planning the case presentation:

- An explanation of the action(s) taken.
- A summary of the policy or laws used to determine that the action taken was correct.
- Any clarifications by central office staff of the policy or laws used.
- The facts which led to the conclusion that the policy is relevant to the disputed case action.
- The DHS procedures ensuring that the client received adequate or timely notice of the proposed action and affording all other rights.

The ALJ determines the facts based only on evidence introduced at the hearing, draws a conclusion of law, and determines whether DHS policy was appropriately applied. The ALJ issues a final decision unless the AL J believes that the applicable law does not support DHS policy or DHS policy is silent on the issue being considered. BAM 600. In that case, the ALJ recommends a decision and the policy hearing authority makes the final decision. BAM 600.

In this case, Claimant's hearing request c hallenges the department's determination of Claimant's eligibility for FAP benefits of \$ per month for the benefit period effective December 1, 2013 and the department's determination of Claimant's eligibility for MA benefits with a deductible amount of \$ for the same benefit period. At the December 5, 2013 hear ing, the department's representative, Timothy Wertz, testified that the department 's calculation of Claimant' s \$ monthly FAP allotment beginning based on the departm ent's determination that Claimant's household's monthly earned income amount includes her husband's receipt of monthly gross income totaling \$ However the depare the trailed to provide any budget documentation in the hearing packet outlin ing t he basis for the department's

calculations – spec ifically, the Bridges F AP Net EDG Inco me Results for the benefit period at is sue. Nor did the department produce any budget documentation regarding the basis for the department's calculation of Claimant's MA deductible amount, and the department representative could offer no test — imony in this r—egard. Without this additional information, the Ad—ministrative Law Judge is unable to make a reasoned, informed decision regarding th—e crux of Claimant's —hear ing request — whether the department properly calculated Claimant's FAP budget and MA deductible.

Accordingly, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the department has failed to carry its burden of proof and di d not provide information nec essary to enable thi s Administrative Law J udge to determine w hether the department followed policy a s required under BAM 600.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, and for the reasons stated on the record, is unable to decide whether the department acted in accordance with policy in determining Claimant's MA and FAP eligibility for the benefit periods effective October 1, 2013 and December 1, 2013, respectively.

Therefore, the department's determination of Claimant's MA and FAP eligibility for the benefit periods effective October 1, 2013 and December 1, 2013, respectively, is **REVERSED** and the department is ordered to do the following, in accordance with department policy and consiste nt with this Hearing Deci sion, within 10 days of the mailing of this decision and order:

1. immediately initiate a redeterminat ion of Claimant's MA and FAP benef it eligibility for the benef it periods effective October 1, 2013 and December 1, 2013, respectively, and issue any supplemental checks if she is otherwise entitled to them.

It is **SO ORDERED**.

/s/	
	Suzanne D. Sonneborn
	Administrative Law Judge
	for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
	Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>December 6, 2013</u>

Date Mailed: December 9, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the req uest of a p arty within 30 days of the mailing date of this De cision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final deci sion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existe d at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision:
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to a ddress in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SDS/hj

