STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-9744

Issue No.: 3002

Case No.:

Hearing Date: December 9, 2013

County: Oakland (02)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a hearing was held on December 9, 2013, in Madison Heights, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative, Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included APS.

ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly deny Claimant's application for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for FAP on September 24, 2013.
- 2. On September 30, 2013, a member of Claimant's FAP group moved out of Claimant's home.
- 3. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by October 14, 2013.
- 4. Claimant submitted all requested verification, except that of the former group member, on October 11, 2013.

- 5. The former group member refused to provide information to Claimant.
- 6. The former group member applied for assistance with the State of Michigan with her fiancé.
- 7. On October 18, 2013, the Department issued a Notice of Case Action, notifying Claimant that the Department denied Claimant's MA application due to failure to verify verification.
- 8. On October 25, 2013, Claimant requested a hearing, protesting the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015

Clients must cooperate with the local DHS office in obtaining verification for determining initial and ongoing eligibility. BAM 105; BAM 130. The client should be allowed 10 calendar days to provide the verification. BAM 130. If the client refuses to provide the information or has not made a reasonable effort within the specified time period, then policy directs that a negative action be issued. *Id.* "Tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date; see **Timeliness of Verifications** in this item. Use the DHS-3503, Verification Checklist (VCL), or for MA redeterminations, the DHS-1175, MA Determination Notice, to request verification." *Id.*

Do not deny or terminate assistance because an employer or other source refuses to verify income; see BAM 130, VERIFICATION AND COLLATERAL CONTACTS and BEM 702, CDC VERIFICATIONS. BAM 105

In the present case, Claimant's AHR testified credibly that a member of Claimant's FAP group at the time of the application of September 24, 2013, was no longer a member of Claimant's FAP group as of September 30, 2013, and the former group member refused to provide the requested verification to Claimant. Claimant's AHR testified that she informed the Department worker's manager of the former group member's refusal to cooperate by leaving a detailed voice mail message. The Department worker at the hearing was unable to testify as to the exact message left with her supervisor. Claimant's AHR testified further that the former group member applied for assistance with her fiancé.

Based on the above discussion, it is not found that Claimant refused to cooperate. Claimant provided all of the verification that was within her control to the Department in a timely manner.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's FAP application.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate and reprocess Claimant's FAP application of September 24, 2013.
- 2. Issue a new Notice of Case Action informing Claimant of the Department's decision regarding FAP eligibility.
- 3. Issue FAP supplements for any missed payments, in accordance with Department policy.

Susan C. Burke

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Jusa C. Bruke

Date Signed: December 11, 2013

Date Mailed: December 11, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

2014-9744/SCB

SCB/tm

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights
 of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

cc: