### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:
2014-8665

Issue No(s).:
2011;3011

Case No.:
Image: County in the image count of the ima

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun

# **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a three way telephone hearing was held on November 25, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Lisa Hollowell, Assistance Payment Worker and the matter of the Support.

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly determine that Claimant was ineligible for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits and Medical Assistance (MA) based on a failure to cooperate with child support requirements?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP and MA benefits
- 2. The Department determined that effective November 1, 2013, Claimant would be ineligible for FAP and MA benefits based on a failure to cooperate with child support.
- 3. On October 24, 2013, Claimant submitted a hearing request disputing the Department's actions.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

Additionally, the custodial parents of children must comply with all requests for action or information needed to establish paternity and/or obtain child support on behalf of children for whom she receives assistance, unless a claim of good cause for not cooperating has been granted or is pending. BEM 255 (October 2013), pp. 1. A client's cooperation with paternity and obtaining child support is a condition of FAP and MA eligibility. BEM 255, pp. 1, 9-11. Cooperation is required in all phases of the process to establish paternity and obtain support and includes providing all known information about the absent parent. BEM 255, p 8. Any individual required to cooperate who fails to cooperate without good cause may result in group ineligibility or member disqualification for FAP and MA. BEM 255, pp. 9-11.

In this case, the Department testified that on **Contact Letter**, the Office of Child Support (OCS) sent Claimant a First Customer Contact Letter informing her to contact OCS and provide information regarding the noncustodial parent. On **Contact Letter** requesting the same information and informing her that failure to provide that information would result in being placed in noncooperation status. A Noncooperation Notice was issued to Claimant on **Contact Letter**, informing her that she was considered to be noncooperative with child support and that her cases would be impacted.

At the hearing, Claimant testified that after receiving the contact letters from the OCS, she called to speak with the OCS to have the matter resolved and left messages. When she could not reach someone, Claimant stated that she completed the child support information form and submitted it to the Department on two occasions. Claimant provided all of the information regarding the father of her child on the record, including his name, address, date of birth, physical description and identifying features. The

Department was satisfied with the information provided by Claimant on the record and acknowledged that it was sufficient to be compliant with child support requirements.

Under the facts presented, the OCS failed to establish that Claimant did not disclose all known information concerning the father and that she had additional information regarding the father's identity, thereby, making her ineligible

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined that Claimant was ineligible for an an oncooperation with child support requirements.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- Remove the child support sanctions that were imposed on Claimant's and and grant ;
- 2. Reinstate Claimant's and and effective November 1, 2013;
- 3. Begin issuing supplements to Claimant for any and and benefits that she was entitled to receive but did not from the date of ineligibility ongoing; and
- 4. Notify Claimant of its decision in writing in accordance with Department policy.

Zainab Raydown Zainab Baydown

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 2, 2013

Date Mailed: December 2, 2013

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was

made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ZB/tm

CC:	