# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-8461 Issue No.: 2000;3008

Case No.:

Hearing Date: November 27, 2013

County: Wayne (49)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Zainab Baydoun

## **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 27, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative, was not present; however, Claimant wanted to proceed with the hearing in his absence. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

## **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly calculate Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits and process his Medical Assistance (MA) benefits?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP and MA benefits.
- 2. On October 12, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing him that effective November 1, 2013, his FAP benefits would be decreased to (Exhibit 4)
- 3. Claimant disputed the calculation of his FAP benefits.
- 4. On October 23, 2013, Claimant submitted a hearing request disputing the Department's actions.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

#### MA

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

The hearing was requested to dispute the Department's action taken with respect to Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) benefits. Shortly after commencement of the hearing, Claimant testified that he understands and is satisfied with the actions taken by the Department and that he no longer had any issues to address with respect to his MA case. Claimant further stated confirmed that he did not wish to proceed with the hearing concerning his MA case. The Request for Hearing was withdrawn. The Department agreed to the dismissal of the hearing request. Pursuant to the withdrawal of the hearing request filed in this matter, the Request for Hearing regarding the MA case is hereby **DISMISSED**.

## **FAP**

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, the gross amount of money earned from Retirement, Survivors, Disability Insurance (RSDI) and veteran's pensions is included in the calculation of unearned income for purposes of FAP budgeting. BEM 503 (July 2013), pp. 28, 35-36.

At the hearing, the FAP EDG Net Income Results Budget for November 1, 2013 was reviewed. (Exhibit 1). The Department concluded that Claimant had unearned income of which came from RSDI benefits in the amount of and his veteran's pension in the amount of Claimant confirmed that he receives these amounts in gross monthly RSDI benefits and monthly veteran's pension; therefore, the Department properly calculated Claimant's unearned income. (Exhibits 2 and 3).

The budget shows that the Department properly applied the standard deduction applicable to Claimant's confirmed group size of one and that the standard heat and utility deduction available to all FAP recipients was properly applied. RFT 255 (October 2013), p 1; BEM 554 (July 2013), pp. 14-15. The Department determined that Claimant had housing costs of which Claimant confirmed.

Additionally, because Claimant's FAP group includes Senior/Disabled/Veteran (SDV) members, the group is eligible for a deduction for verified medical expenses incurred in excess of BEM 554, p 1. The Department testified that no medical expenses were submitted to the Department, so the deduction was not applied in this case.

After further review of the evidence presented, the Department properly calculated Claimant's net income for FAP purposes and determined that he was eligible to receive in FAP benefits monthly.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it calculated Claimant's FAP benefits.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, Claimant's hearing request with respect to MA is DISMISSED and the Department's FAP decision is AFFIRMED.

Zainab Baydoun

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>December 3, 2013</u>

Date Mailed: December 3, 2013

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ZB/tm

cc: