## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

 					_	_
		B / /	\ <del>T</del> -	$\GammaFR$	$\sim$	
	-	11/1 /		ı – ĸ		

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2014-8169 2001 December 12, 2013 Muskegon				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie						
HEARING DECISION						
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, December 12, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Rose Ward, APSup, and Lisa Fife, ES.						
<u>ISSUE</u>						
Did the Department properly $oxtimes$ deny Claimant's application $oxtimes$ close Claimant's case or:						
Family Independence Program (FIP)?  Food Assistance Program (FAP)?  Medical Assistance (MA)?  Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	Child Developme Direct Support Se	State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)? Direct Support Services (DSS)? State SSI Payments (SSP)?				
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:						
I. Claimant ⊠ applied for ☐ received: ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☑ MA ☐ AMP ☐ benefits.	□SDA □CDC	□DSS □SSP				
2. On September 13, 2013, the Department ⊠ denied Claimant's application □	closed Claimant's c	ase				

due to the Claimant's condition was the same and no new medical was submitted based on a previous MA denial.

- 3. On September 13, 2013, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.
- 4. On October 16, 2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT). ☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131. ☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015. ☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105. The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315 and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10. The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180. The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858g; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department

Date Mailed: <u>12/27/2013</u>

Date Signed: <u>12/27/2013</u>	Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
	Carmon II. Salvie
_	
□ AFFIRMED.	
Accordingly, the Department's decision is	
DECISION AND O	RDER
acted in accordance with Department policy who says application because of a previous MRT of being submitted.	nen it denied Claimant's September
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the ab of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, it	9
Additionally, the Claimant applied for MA previous Review Team (MRT), State Hearing Review Tear Judge. The Claimant re-applied on September application that his condition had not changed evidence. Department Exhibit 6-17. On September 12, 2013 application was denied become denied based on the medical evidence already BEM 260-261.	m (SHRT), and an Administrative Law r 12, 2013, but he indicated on his nor did he have any new medical eptember 13, 2013, the Claimant's cause the Claimant had already been
☐ The State SSI Payments (SSP) program is esand the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1382e. The pursuant to MCL 400.10.	
☐ Direct Support Services (DSS) is established by .119b. The program is administered by the Depter 400.57a and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.	
administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Adm	•

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights
  of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/pw

