STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-4501 Issue No(s).: 2001, 3001 Case No.:

Hearing Date: December 10, 2013

County: Grand Traverse County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen Lack

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's r equest for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. A telephone hearing was first scheduled for November 13, 2013, at 3:00 pm at the Grand Traverse County Department of Human Services (Department) office. O n November 14, 2013. an Order of Dismissal was iss ued based on the Claimant not appearing for the scheduled hearing. On November 18, 2013, an Order Vacating the Dismissal and Order to Schedule Matter for hearing was issued granting the Cla imant's request to vacate the Order of Dismissal. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 10, 2013, from Lansing, Michi gan. Participa nts on behalf of Claimant the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department included Eligibility Specialist. included

<u>ISSUES</u>

- (1) Did the Department proper ly close the Claimant's Food Assist ance Program (FAP) case?
- (2) Did the Department properly re-determine Medicaid eligibility fo r the Claimant and her daughter (A.S.)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claim ant's FAP and Medicaid c ases were due for redetermination in September 2013.
- 2. Based upon changes wit h RSDI and child s upport income, the Department determined the FAP c ase would close, t he Claimant's daughter's (A.S.) Medicaid would change from Other Health Kids full Medicaid coverage to having a monthly

spend down for Group 2 Medic aid, and the Claimant's Group 2 Medicaid spend down would increase.

- 3. On September 17, 2013, a Notice of Case Action was issued to the Claimant.
- 4. On September 30, 2013, the Claimant fi led a reques t for hearing contesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), D epartment of Human Service es Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

Food Assistance Program (FAP)

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

BEM 550, 554, and 556 address the FAP budget. In calculating the FAP b udget, the entire amount of earned and unear ned countable inc ome is bu dgeted. Every case is allowed the standard deduction shown in RFT 255. BEM 550. Bridges counts the gross amount of current SSAissued RSDI as une arned inco me. Child support is considered income to the child for whom the support is paid. BEM 503. All FAP groups receive the mandatory heat an dutility standard based on the e receipt of \$1 in Low Income Home Energy Assistanc e Program (LIHEAP). This LIHEAP benefit allows a II FAP cases to receive the mandat ory heat and utility standard, even if they do not hav e the responsibility to pay and do not provide ve rification. A shelter expense is allowed when the FAP group has a shelter expense or contributes to the shelt er expens e. Legally obligated child support expenses ar e also allowed in the FAP budget. Certain verified medical expenses ar e also allowed to be included in the FAP budget. BEM 554.

Additionally, a non-categorically eligible non-Senior/Disabled/Veteran (non-SDV) FAP group must have income below the gross and net income limits. BEM 550.

For the Redetermination, the Department i nitially re-ran the Claimant's FAP eligibility based on the changes in the RSDI and child support income. The initial FAP-EDG Net Income Results for the benefit period of Oc tober 2013 showed t hat the Claimant was not eligible because the net in come of \$ exceeded the net income limit of \$ (Exhibit 10)

The Department re-ran the FAP net income test for the benefit period of October 2013 based upon additional information from the Claimant regarding child support expenses,

additional medical expenses and a mortgage. The Eligibility Specialist testified that the mortgage expense was not incolled when the Department re-determined eligibility because the verification provided for this expense was a Credit Agreement and Disclosure for a line of credit. (See Exhibit 8) The Eligibility Specialist testified that after re-running the FAP eligibility, the Claimant still a ppeared to be over the income limit. However, the submitted second FAP-EDG Net Income Results for the benefit period of October 2013 indic ates that the net income test was not applicable and the Claimant was eligible for FAP with a monthly allotment of (Exhibit 11) The determination to close the Claimant's FAP case due to income over the limit for this program cannot be upheld based on the second FAP-EDG Net Income Results for the benefit period of October 2013 indicating the Claimant was eligible.

Medicaid

The Medic al Assistance (MA) program is est ablished by the Title XIX of the Socia I Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

For Healthy Kids Medicaid, financ ial eligibility is only considered at init ial eligibility and annual redetermination. BEM 531. Income elig ibility exists when net income does not exceed 150% of the pover ty level. The inc ome limit is in RFT 246. BEM 131. For a group size of two, the income limit, 150% of poverty level, is \$1,939. RFT 246.

For Group 2 Medicaid categories, income eligibility exists for the calendar month tested when: (1) there is no excess income and (2) allowable medic al expenses equal or exceed the excess income. BEM 545

The Eligibility Spec ialist's testimony indicated that when the Redetermination was completed, the income changes resulted in excess income determinations that changed the Claimant's daughter's (A.S.) Medicaid from full Health Kids Medicaid to Group 2 Medicaid with a spend down and caused the Claimant's Group 2 Medicaid spend down to increase. However, the Department has not provided sufficient evidence to support the Medic aid eligibility determinations for October 2013. T he Medicaid budget information submitted by the Department is for the benefit period of November 2013. (Exhibits 9 and 10) Further, the accuracy of the submitted determinations for November 2013 cannot be considered entirely reliable. For example, the G2-FIP R elated M A (Child)-Net Income page indicates a deductible but the Eligibility Specialist testified that the Claimant's daughter (A.S .) has been put back on the Healthy Kids Medic aid effective November 2 013. The Eligibility Specialist was not sur e of the b asis for that determination and stated she is looking into i t. Accordingly, the Medicaid eligibilit v determinations regarding October 2013 cannot be upheld.

Request for Reimbursement for Transportation for the Hearing

On the record during the December 10, 2013 telephone hearing proceedings, the Claimant requested reim bursement of transportation costs for the hearing. The

Claimant provided her name, confirmed her address and reported mileage of 26 miles each way. This was in accordance with the BAM 600 policy that states:

Clients may request reimbursement of transportation and child care costs at the hearing. Clients must make the request on the hearing record and provide the ALJ the following information:

- Their name and address.
- For **transportation expense reimbursement**, the number of miles traveled round-trip for the hearing.
- For child care expense reimbursement, the provider type (for example, c hild c are c enter) and a signed and dated receipt from the provider showing t he full names and ages of all children for whom care was provided.

MAHS will issue the reimbursements when the total combined cost exceeds \$3.

Note: Reimbursements are computed using the least costly travel rate in the AHN 1115-1 and child care costs in RFT 270.

The Michigan Administrative Hearing Sys tem (MAHS) is processing the Claimant' request for transportation costs in accordance with the BAM 600 policy.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of

Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it did not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed the Claimant's FAP case due to excess income. In failed to s atisfy its burden of s howing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it re-determined Medicaid elig ibility for the Claimant and her daughter (A.S.) effective October 2013.

<u>DECISION AND ORDER</u>		
Accordingly, the Department's decision is		
☐ AFFIRMED. ☑ REVERSED. ☐ AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to to	and REVERSED IN PART	with respect
THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO ACCORDANCE WIT H DE PARTMENT P	BEGIN DOING THE FOLLO	•

HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- Reinstate the Claimant's FAP case retroa ctive to the effective d ate listed on the September 17, 2013 Notice of Case Action and re-determine eligibility in accordance with Department policy.
- 2. Re-determine the Medicaid eligibility for the Classimant and her daughter (A.S.) retroactive to October 2013 in accordance with Department policy.
- 3. Issue the Claimant any supplement that she may thereafter be due.

/s/

Colleen Lack Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 19, 2013

Date Mailed: December 19, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the req uest of a p arty within 30 days of the mailing date of this De cision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final deci sion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existe d at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to a ddress in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CL/hj

