STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ш	N	TI	HF	N/I	Λ7	ГТ	CC		\E.
ш	N		-	IVI	AI		Гĸ	Œ	/ F:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2014 13697 3002 December 16, 2013 Wayne (55)					
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris							
HEARING DE	<u>CISION</u>						
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 16, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included							
ISSUE							
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's benefits for:							
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		ogram (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?					
FINDINGS OF	FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:							
 Claimant ☐ applied for ☐ received: ☐FIP ☐FAP ☐MA ☐ AMP ☐ benefits. 	SDA □CDC						
 Claimant was required to submit request Notice by October 28, 2013. Exhibit 1. 	ted verification by o	of a New Hire Client					

3.	On December 1, 2013, the Department
	denied Claimant's application.
	⊠ closed Claimant's case.
	reduced Claimant's benefits.

- 4. On October 30, 2013, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of its action.
- 5. On November 2, 2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, in this case the Claimant did not return a New Hire Client Notice by the due date. The Claimant was employed at the time. The Claimant testified at the hearing that he did not receive the notice; however, it was properly sent to the correct address. The proper mailing and addressing of a letter creates a presumption of receipt. That presumption may be rebutted by evidence. *Stacey v Sankovich*, 19 Mich App 638 (1969); *Good v Detroit Automobile Inter-Insurance Exchange*, 67 Mich App 270 (1976). The Claimant indicated that he did not have trouble with his mail and therefore the presumption of receipt of mail that was properly addressed was not overcome or rebutted. Therefore it is determined that the Department in accordance with BAM 130 properly closed the Claimant's FAP case for failure to return the verification requested of new hire and employment. The Claimant may reapply for Food Assistance at any time.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any finds that the Department

acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed the Claimant's Food Assistance case due to failure to return the New Hire Client Notice.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

AFFIRMED.

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 19, 2013

Date Mailed: December 19, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

