STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

2.

3.

IN THE MATTER OF.		
	Reg. No.: Issue No(s) .: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2014-13462 3002 December 19, 2013 SSPC-Central
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Katherine Talbot		
HEARING DECISION		
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 19, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Coordinator.		
ISSUE		
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimant's application or:		
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		ogram (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:		
1. Claimant ⊠ received: ⊠FAP expedited benefits.		

Claimant was required to submit requested verification by August 1, 2013.

On September 1, 2013, the Department 🖂 closed Claimant's case.

- 4. On October 17, 2013, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of its action.
- 5. On October 29, 2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's action.
- 6. The Claimant was connected to a conference call by the Administrative Law Judge for the hearing on December 19, 2013. The Claimant disconnected from the call prior to the conclusion of the hearing.
- 7. The Administrative Law Judge was unable to reconnect the Claimant. The record was closed.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT). The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code. R 400.3101 to .3131. The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015. The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family 1008.59. Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105. The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315 and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10. The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020.

The Claimant disconnected from the telephone hearing prior to the conclusion of the hearing. The Administrative Law Judge was not able to reconnect the Claimant. The record was closed. However, based on the testimony taken from the Claimant and Department witness, the Administrative Law Judge makes the following ruling.

A verification checklist was mailed to the Claimant on July 22, 2013. The Claimant asserted he did not receive this verification checklist. He testified he had an interview with a Department representative after applying for benefits. At the conclusion of the interview the Claimant was told he was "all set." The Claimant inferred he did not have to do anything further.

The proper mailing and addressing of a letter creates a presumption of receipt. That presumption may be rebutted by evidence. *Stacey v Sankovich*, 19 Mich App 638 (1969); *Good v Detroit Automobile Inter-Insurance Exchange*, 67 Mich App 270 (1976). As the Claimant's address has not changed the evidence is insufficient to rebut the presumption that the Claimant received the verification checklist.

The stronger evidence indicates the Claimant received the verification checklist. He did not believe anything further was necessary and did not respond to the request. Therefore, the Administrative Law Judge finds the Claimant failed to provide the verifications requested by the Department.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) case.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is \square **AFFIRMED**.

Katherine Talbot
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 12/23/13 Date Mailed: 12/23/13 **NOTICE OF APPEAL**: The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the Claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KT/tb

cc:

