STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-13324

Issue No(s).: 3008

Case No.: Hearing Date:

2013

County: Wayne (57)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 16, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included , Family Independence Manager and Exercise (Department), Eligibility Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly calculate Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP benefits.
- 2. In connection with a redetermination, Claimant's eligibility to receive FAP benefits was reviewed.
- 3. On October 12, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing him that effective November 1, 2013, his monthly FAP benefits would be decreased to \$88. (Exhibit 1)
- 4. On November 12, 2013, Claimant submitted a hearing request disputing the amount of his FAP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, the gross amount of money earned from Retirement, Survivors, Disability Insurance (RSDI), and Supplemental Security Income (SSI) is included in the calculation of unearned income for purposes of FAP budgeting. BEM 503 (July 2013), pp.28, 32. State SSI Payments (SSP) are issued quarterly and the monthly SSP benefit amount is counted as unearned income. BEM 503, p.33.

At the hearing, the FAP EDG Net Income Results budget for the benefit period November 1, 2013, was reviewed. (Exhibit 2). The Department concluded that Claimant had unearned income of which the Department initially testified came from sin RSDI benefits, in SSI benefits and in SSP benefits. The Department later testified that it appeared that an additional in SSI benefits was being included as unearned income based on the information obtained in the SOLQ. (Exhibit 3). Claimant disputed the amounts relied on by the Department and stated that he only receives in SSI benefits due to a monthly withholding based on a previous overpayment. According to BEM 500, amounts deducted by an issuing agency to recover a previous overpayment or ineligible payment are not part of gross income and are excluded as income. BEM 500 (July 2013), p. 5. A review of the SOLQ confirms that the sat issue is due to an overpayment. (Exhibit 3). Therefore, the Department did not properly calculate Claimant's unearned income.

The budget shows that the Department properly applied the 1 standard deduction applicable to Claimant's confirmed group size of one and the Budget Summary from the Notice of Case Action shows that the standard heat and utility deduction available to all FAP recipients was properly applied. RFT 255 (October 2013), p 1; BEM 554 (July 2013), pp. 14-15. Claimant did not provide any other verified housing costs.

Additionally, because Claimant's FAP group includes Senior/Disabled/Veteran (SDV) members, the group is eligible for a deduction for verified medical expenses incurred in excess of \$35.00. BEM 554, p 1. Claimant did not submit any verified medical expenses, so this deduction was not considered.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that because of the errors in the Department's calculation of Claimant's unearned income, the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it determined that Claimant was eligible to receive FAP benefits effective November 1, 2013.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Recalculate Claimant's FAP budget for November 1, 2013, ongoing; and
- 2. Issue supplements to Claimant for any FAP benefits that he was entitled to receive but did not from November 1, 2013, ongoing.

Zainab Baydoun
Administrative Law Judge

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 18, 2013

Date Mailed: December 18, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion:
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;

ZB/tm

• Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

cc:	