STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No(s).: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: 2014-1331

1001

December 11, 2013 Washtenaw County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen Lack

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's r equest for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing wa s held on December 11, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included for the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included for the Department of Human Services (Department) included for the Department of Human Services (Department) included for the Department of Human Services (Department) included for the Department of Human Services (Department) included for the Department of Human Services (Department) included for the Department of Human Services (Department) included for the Department of Human Services (Department) included for the Department of Human Services (Department) included for the Department of Human Services (Department) included for the Department of Human Services (Department) included for the Department of Human Services (Department) included for the Department of Human Services (Department) included for the Department of Human Services (Department) included for the Department of Human Services (Department) included for the Department of Human Services (Department) included for the Department of Human Services (Department) included for the Department (Depar

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FIP benefits.
- 2. On September 3, 2013, a Notice of Case Action was issued to the Claimant stating the FIP case would close effective October 1, 2013, because the family's income including child support exceeds their needs based on cash assistance standards.
- 3. On September 16, 2013, the Claimant fi led a request for hearing contesting the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), D epartment of Human Servic es Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM). The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Depart tment (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MC L 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

Additionally, for FIP, financia I eligibility factors in the following BEM items must be met: 400, Assets; 500, Income Overview; 501, Income From Employment; 502, Income From Self-Employment; 503, Income, Unearned; 504, Income From Rental/Room and Board; 505, Pros pective Budgeting/Income Chan ge Proces sing; 515, FIP/RCA/ SDA Need s Budgeting; 518, FI P/RCA/SDA Income Budgeting; and 520, Computing the FIP/RCA/SDA Budget. BEM 209.

Financial need must exist to rec eive benefits. Financial need exists when the certified group passes the Qualifying Def icit Test, I ssuance Deficit Test a nd the Child Support Income Test. BEM 518.

The testimony of the Family Independence Specialist and the Family Independence Manager indicated that the Se ptember 3, 2013, Notice of Case Action was a system generated action, rather than an action taken by a cas eworker. It appears that the FIP closure was based on an error with the budgeti ng of child support payments. After looking at it, the Department believes the Claimant's FIP benefits should be restored for at least a period of time. The local Depar tment office has tried to reinstate the Claimant's FIP case, and even attempted to start a help de sk ticket. However, the help desk indicated they needed an ALJ order to start a ticket.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

] acted in accordance with Department policy when it

did not act in accordance with Department policy when it

failed to s atisfy its burden of s howing that it acted in accor dance with Department policy when it closed the Claimant's FIP case.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

AFFIRMED.

- \boxtimes REVERSED.
- AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to to

and REVERSED IN PART with respect

☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WIT H DE PARTMENT P OLICY AND CONSIS TENT WIT H THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Re-instate the Claimant's FIP case retroactive to the October 1, 2013, effective date and re-determine eligibility in accordance with Department policy.
- 2. Issue the Claimant any supplement that she may thereafter be due.

<u>/s/</u>

Colleen Lack Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 13, 2013

Date Mailed: December 16, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Deci sion and Order or, if a ti mely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Rec onsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the req uest of a p arty within 30 days of the mailing date of this De cision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final deci sion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existe d at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to a ddress in the hearing d ecision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CL/hj

20141331/CL

