### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No(s).: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: 2014-13104 3002, 5001

December 18, 2013 Ingham County DHS

### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen Lack

### **HEARING DECISION**

# **ISSUES**

- 1) Did the Department proper ly deny the Claimant's St ate Emergency Relief (SER) application for energy services because it was filed during the non-crisis period and for home repairs because the Claimant lives in an apartment?
- 2) Did the Department properly deny the Claimant's F ood Ass istance Program (FAP) application based on a failure to comply with verification requirements?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On October 2, 2013, the Claimant applied for SER and FAP.
- 2. On October 4, 2013, a SER Decision Notice was issued to the Claimant stating the SER requests were denied because the Claimant does not have an emergency.
- 3. On October 4, 2013, a Ve rification Checklist was iss ued to the Claimant stating what verifications were needed by the October 14, 2013 due date.
- 4. On November 1, 2013, notice of the FAP denial was issued to the Claimant.

5. On November 7, 2013, the Claimant filed a request for hearing contesting the Department's actions.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), D epartment of Human Servic es Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] i s established by the Food Stamp Act of 197 7, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations c ontained in 7 CFR 271. 1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The State Emergency Relief (S ER) program is established by the Soc ial Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The SER pr ogram is administered by the Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) pursuant to MCL 400.10 and by Mich Admin Code, R 400.7001 through R 400.7049.

For energy related emergencies, the SER crisis season runs from November 1 through May 31. Requests for those serv ices will be denied June 1 through October 31. ERM 301. Non-energy-related repairs include all home r epairs for client-owned hous ing except furnace repair or replacement. ERM 304.

Additionally, a Claimant must cooperate wit h the local office in determining initia I and ongoing eligibility, including c ompletion of necessary forms, and must completely and truthfully answer all questions on forms and in interviews. BAM 105.

Verification is usually required upon applic ation or redetermination and for a reporte d change affecting eligibility or benefit level. Verifications are considered timely if due. The Department must a llow a client 10 calendar received by the date they are days (or other time limit specified in policy) to provide the requested verification. The Department worker must tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date. The client must obtain requir ed verification, but the Department must assist if the client needs and requests help. If neither the client, nor the Department, can obtain verification despite a reasonable effort, the Depa rtment worker should use the best available information. If no evidence is available, the Department worker is to use their best judgment. The Department is to send a case ac tion notice when t he client indicates refusal to provide a verifica tion, or the time period given h as elapsed. BAM 130.

For FAP, if the client cont acts the Department prior to the due date requesting an extension or assistance in obtaining verifications, the Department must assist them with the verifications but not grant an extens ion. The Department worker must explain to the client they will not be given an extens ion and their case will be denied once the due date is pas sed. Also, the Department worker s hall explain their elig ibility and it will b e

determined based on their compliance date if they return required verifications. BAM 130. The Department must re-register the F AP application if the client complies within 60 days of the application date. BAM 115 and BAM 130.

On October 2, 2013 the Cl aimant applied for SER energy services (electric deposit/reconnect fee and electric bill), non-energy home repairs, and non-energy utility services (deposit/reconnect fee). (Exhibit A, Pages 26 and 37-40) Under the abov e cited ERM 301 polic y, the energy related services had to be denied because the Claimant's SER ap plication was filed before November 1, the start of the SER crisis season. The Assistance Payments Worker also explained that the home repair services were denied because the Claimant lives in an apartment. (See also Exhibit A, page 39) The above cited ERM 304 policy is c lear that home repairs ar e only considered for client-owned housing. It appears that the application for non-energy utility services (deposit/reconnect fee) was in error as this deposit/reconnect fee is exactly the sam e amount as the energy related SER request for electric deposit/reconnect fee. (Exhibit A, Page 38) The Department appropriately denied the SER requests for energy related services because the application was filed prio r to the November 1 start of the crisis season and appropriately denied the SER r equest for home repair because the request was not for client-owned housing.

On October 2, 2013 the Claimant also a pplied for FAP. On October 4, 2013, a Verification Checklist was issued to the Claimant stating what verifications were needed by the October 14, 2013 due date. The Assist ance Payments Worker testified that he was not aware of the Department receiving any requests for assistance with obtaining the verifications or for an extens ion of the due date. The Assistance Payments Worker testified that on November 1, 2013, the Claimant's FAP application was denied because the verific ations had not been returned. Rather, the Claimant did not submit the verifications until November 7, 2013, when the request for hearing was filed.

The Claim ant indicat ed he previously applie d for FAP, was denied, and was then advised to reapply. The Claim ant stated he re-applied, but then did not hear anything back from the Department for several weeks. The Claimant had been told something would come by mail, but nothing arrived. The Claimant also checked the online M I Bridges site. The Claimant specifically testified that he did not receive the October 4, 2013, Verification Checklist. The Claim ant testifi ed that he called the caseworker several times. The Claimant and his witness both provided test imony that they had been told the Department was having computer system problems with mailings in October 2013.

The Assist ance Pay ments Worker testifi ed that while he was not familiar with a computer system error in Oc tober 2013, he would not doubt that t here was one. Accordingly, the Department has not presented sufficient evid ence that th ey told the Claimant what verification is required and how to obtain it, by the October 14, 2013 due date.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

- acted in accordanc e with Department policy when it denied the Claimant's SER application for energy services because it was filed dur ing the non-crisis period and for home repairs because the Claimant lives in an apartment.
- did not act in accordance with Department policy when it
- ☑ failed to s atisfy its burden of s howing that it acted in accor dance with Department policy when it denied the Claimant FAP application based on a failure to comply with verification requirements.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

AFFIRMED.

REVERSED.

- AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to the SER denial and REVERSED IN PART with respect to the FAP denial.
- THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WIT H DE PARTMENT P OLICY AND CONSIS TENT WIT H THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
- 1. Re-instate the Claimant's October 2, 2013 FAP applicatio n and re-determine eligibility in accordance with Department policy.
- 2. Issue the Claimant any supplement he may thereafter be due.

<u>/s/</u>

Colleen Lack Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 26, 2013

Date Mailed: December 27, 2013

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt d ate of the Decision and Order of Rec onsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the req uest of a p arty within 30 days of the mailing date of this De cision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final deci sion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

### 201413104/CL

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existe d at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights
  of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to a ddress in the hearing d ecision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CL/hj

