#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-12502 Issue No(s).: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

3001 December 16, 2013 Oakland (63-04)

## **ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Jonathan W. Owens

# **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 16, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

### ISSUE

Did the Department properly  $\Box$  deny Claimant's application  $\boxtimes$  close Claimant's case for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

- Child Development and Care (CDC)?
- **Direct Support Services (DSS)?**
- State SSI Payments (SSP)?

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant  $\square$  applied for  $\square$  received: **FIP**  $\square$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  AMP benefits.
- 2. On December 1, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's application  $\boxtimes$  closed Claimant's case due to no longer being eligible based upon student status.

- 3. On October 31, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a notice of case action indicating the pending closure.
- 4. On November 7, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

In the instant case, Claimant reported on September 30, 2013, in a DHS-2440 change report form that she was attending college. Claimant had started school on September 3, 2013. The Department verified Claimant was attending college part time. On October 31, 2013, the Department initiated closure of Claimant's FAP benefits effective December 1, 2013, based upon her school status.

On November 7, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request protesting the Department's closure of her FAP benefits. Claimant testified at hearing she was kicked out of her parent's home February 2013. Claimant testified she is homeless. Claimant agreed she was attending college part time. Claimant testified she is not currently working.

According to BEM 245 (July 2013), pp. 3-5, in order to be eligible to receive FAP benefits when enrolled in a secondary education program, the individual must meet one of the listed criteria. After reviewing the list of criteria, this Administrative Law Judge finds Claimant does not meet the eligibility requirements for receiving FAP benefits while enrolled in a secondary education program.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed the Claimant's FAP benefits.

## DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

nothin Quans

/ Jonathan W. Owens Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 17, 2013

Date Mailed: December 17, 2013

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JWO/pf

