# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-12257

Issue No(s).: 3008

Case No.:

Hearing Date: December 11, 2013

County: Wayne (19)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Zainab Baydoun

# **HEARING DECISION**

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly calculate Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP benefits.
- 2. Claimant's FAP benefits were decreased to \$15 effective November 1, 2013.
- 3. On November 7, 2013, Claimant submitted a hearing request disputing the calculation of her FAP benefits.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, all countable earned and unearned income available to the client must be considered in determining the Claimant's eligibility for program benefits. BEM 500 (July 2013), pp. 1 – 3. The Department determines a client's eligibility for program benefits based on the client's actual income and/or prospective income. Prospective income is income not yet received but expected. BEM 505 (July 2013), p. 1. In prospecting income, the Department is required to use income from the past 30 days if it appears to accurately reflect what is expected to be received in the benefit month, discarding any pay if it is unusual and does not reflect the normal, expected pay amounts. BEM 505, p. 4. A standard monthly amount must be determined for each income source used in the budget. BEM 505, p. 7. Income received biweekly is converted to a standard amount by multiplying the average of the weekly paychecks by the 2.15 multiplier. BEM 505, pp. 8. The Department is to apply a 20% earned income deduction to Claimant's total earned income. BEM 550 (July 2013), p. 1 The gross amount of money earned from SSI and RSDI is included in the calculation of unearned income for purposes of FAP budgeting. BEM 503 (July 2013), pp. 32.

In this case, the Department initially testified that for the benefit period November 1, 2013, Claimant had earned income of and that the Department considered income paid on November 7, 2013, in the amount of and income paid on November 27, 2013, in the amount of the Department later testified that Claimant's FAP budget was recalculated after her hearing request was submitted and the figures relied on prior to the recalculation were actually paid on October 10, 2013, and on October 24, 2013, resulting in total earned income of (Exhibit 1). After further review, the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it calculated Claimant's earned income, as using the figures relied on by the Department do not result in earned income of either

Although Claimant confirmed that the Department properly calculated her unearned income of \$\\_\_\_\_\_\_, Claimant testified that she had previously submitted verification of her housing expenses to the Department that were not considered. The Department failed to provide a Notice of Case Action or a FAP Net Income budget to allow the undersigned to make a determination as to whether or not Claimant is receiving the correct amount of FAP benefits or to determine if her FAP budget was properly calculated.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department because of the errors in the calculation of Claimant's earned income, the Department has failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it calculated Claimant's FAP benefits.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Recalculate Claimant's FAP budget for November 1, 2013, taking into consideration her reported housing expenses; and
- 2. Issue supplements to Claimant for any FAP benefits that she was entitled to receive but did not from November 1, 2013, ongoing.

Lamab Raydonn

Zainab Baydonn

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 17, 2013

Date Mailed: December 17, 2013

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;

- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ZB/tm		
cc:		