STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES						
IN T	HE MATTER OF:					
		Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2014-1152 3052 October 28, 2013 Wayne (82-35)			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane						
HEARING DECISION						
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 28, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included						
<u>ISSUE</u>						
Did Claimant receive an overissuance of Food Assistance (FAP) program benefits that the Department is entitled to recoup?						
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:						
1.	Claimant received benefits for:					
	☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐		Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC)			
2.	The Department determined that Claimant red		ns of June, July and			

3. The overissuance was due to \square Department error. \square client error.

- 4. On September 18, 2013, the Department sent notice of a reduction of Claimant's FAP benefits.
- 5. On September 25, 2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's recoupment action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, at the hearing, Claimant complained that she notified the Department of her working and income in a timely manner.

Claimant complains that, although she notified the Department in a timely manner, the Department failed to reduce her FAP benefits accordingly and are now recouping the overpayment.

Claimant's complaint appears to be based on the problems this created in her own budgeting. This Administrative Law Judge explained to Claimant that, although the overissuance in this instance is the fault of the Department, this does not reduce the Department's ability to recoup such overissuances. BAM 700 (February 2013).

Based u	pon the	above F	findings of	f Fact and	d Conclu	sions of	Law, a	and for the	e reasons
stated or	n the rec	ord, if ar	y, the Adr	ninistrativ	e Law Ju	idge con	cludes '	that Clain	nant
X did re	eceive ar	overiss	uance for	☐ FIP ▷	FAP	SDA	CDC	benefits a	nd the

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's action seeking recoupment is:

Department is entitled to recoup.

⊠ AFFIRMED.	
REVERSED.	
☐ AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to	and REVERSED IN PART with respect
to	

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 2, 2013

Date Mailed: December 3, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/pf

